Origin of the Stability Conferred upon Collagen by Fluorination

Matthew D. Shoulders, Kimberli J. Kamer, and Ronald T. Raines*

Page	Contents			
S1	Table of Contents			
S2	General Experimental Procedures			
S2-S3	Synthetic Procedures for Preparation of Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH and Ac-Dfp-OMe			
S3	Measurement of K _{trans/cis} for Ac-Dfp-OMe			
S3-S4	Conformational Analysis of Ac-Dfp-OMe by NMR			
S4	Peptide Synthesis			
S4–S5	Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy			
S5	Computational Methodology			
S5	References			
S6	Scheme S1. Synthesis of Ac-Dfp-OMe and Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH			
S6	Figure S1. C ^{γ} -endo and C ^{γ} -exo conformations of Ac-Dfp-OMe			
S17	Table S1. Coupling constants of the trans and cis conformers of Ac-Dfp-OMe in			
	$CDCl_3$ and D_2O			
S7	Table S2. SCF energies (atomic units; au) calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)			
	level of theory			
S8–S9	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Spectra of Boc-DfpGly-OBn			
S10-S11	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Spectra of Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH			
S12	¹ H NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl ₃			
S13	¹ H{ ¹⁹ F} NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl ₃			
S14	¹⁹ F NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl ₃			
S15	¹⁹ F{ ¹ H} NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl ₃			
S16	¹ H NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in D ₂ O			
S17	$^{1}H{}^{19}F{}$ NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in D ₂ O			
S18	¹⁹ F NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in D_2O			
S19	$^{19}F{^{1}H}$ NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in D ₂ O			
S20	¹³ C NMR Spectrum of Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl ₃			

General Experimental. Commercial chemicals were of reagent grade or better, and were used without further purification. Anhydrous DMF and CH_2Cl_2 were obtained from a CYCLE-TAINER[®] solvent delivery system (J. T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ). Semi-preparative HPLC was performed with a Macherey-Nagel C18 reversed-phase column. Analytical HPLC was performed with an Agilent C8 reversed-phase column. Linear gradients of solvent A (H₂O with 0.1% v/v TFA) and solvent B (CH₃CN with 0.1% v/v TFA) were used for HPLC analysis and purification.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DMX-400 Avance spectrometer (¹H, 400 MHz; ¹³C, 100.6 MHz) at the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison (NMRFAM), unless indicated otherwise. NMR spectra were obtained at ambient temperatures. Values of coupling constants (*J*) are in Hertz. Compounds with a carbamate protecting group (*e.g.*, Boc or Fmoc) and *N*-acylated proline derivatives exist as mixtures of *Z* and *E* isomers that do not interconvert on the NMR time scale at ambient temperature. Accordingly, these compounds exhibit two sets of NMR signals. Mass spectrometry was performed with either a Micromass LCT (electrospray ionization, ESI) or a Waters Autospec (electron impact, EI) in the Mass Spectrometry Facility in the University of Wisconsin Department of Chemistry, or an Applied Biosystems Voyager DE-Pro (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization, MALDI) mass spectrometer in the University of Wisconsin Biophysics Instrumentation Facility.

The term "concentrated under reduced pressure" refers to the removal of solvents and other volatile materials using a rotary evaporator at water aspirator pressure (<20 torr) while maintaining the water-bath temperature below 50 °C. Residual solvent was removed from samples at high vacuum (<0.1 torr). The term "high vacuum" refers to vacuum achieved by a mechanical belt-drive oil pump.

N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-(2*S*)-4,4-difluoroprolylglycine Benzyl Ester (Boc-DfpGly-OBn). Gly-OBn·TsOH (4.63 g, 13.71 mmol) and DIEA (6.37 mL, 36.56 mmol) were added to a solution of Boc-Dfp-OH (2.30 g, 9.14 mmol) in DCM (170 mL) at 0 °C under Ar(g) and stirred for 5 min. PyBroP (4.26 g, 9.14 mmol) was added and the solution was allowed to warm to rt overnight. The resulting solution was washed with citric acid(aq) (10% w/v, 200 mL), saturated sodium bicarbonate (200 mL), and brine (200 mL), then dried over MgSO₄(s) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was isolated by flash chromatography (1:9→6:4 v/v EtOAc/hexanes) affording Boc-Dfp-Gly-OBn (2.54 g, 70%) as a sticky white paste. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ 8.58−8.44 (m, 0.8 H), 7.42−7.29 (m, 5H), 5.19−5.08 (m, 2H), 4.45−4.31 (m, 1H), 4.02−3.62 (m, 4H), 2.86−2.70 (m, 1H), 2.36−2.19 (m, 1H), 1.40 and 1.34 (2 s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ 171.1, 170.8, 169.5, 153.2, 152.9, 135.8, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 79.9, 65.9, 57.6, 57.1, 53.4, 53.2, 52.9, 52.6, 40.7, (peaks under DMSO), 38.6, 38.3, 38.2, 27.9, 27.7; ESI–EMM: *m/z* ([M+Na]⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₂₄F₂N₂O₅Na 421.1546, found 421.1528.

N-9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl-(2*S*)-prolyl-(2*S*)-4,4-difluoroprolylglycine Benzyl Ester (Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OBn). Boc-DfpGly-OBn (2.54 g, 6.37 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mL of 4N HCl in dioxane (400 mmol) and the solution was stirred under Ar(g) for 2 h. The resulting solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. Solvent was removed from the remaining solid (HCl·DfpGly-OBn) as an azeotrope with toluene, and the residue was dried under high vacuum. The solid was dissolved in DMF (100 mL), Fmoc-Pro-OPfp (5.00 g, 9.93 mmol) and DIEA (3.65 mL, 20.93 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred under Ar(g) for 18 h. The resulting solution was concentrated by rotary evaporation under high vacuum, and the product was isolated by flash chromatography (4:6 \rightarrow 9:1 v/v EtOAc/hexanes) to afford Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OBn containing a slight impurity that was removed in the succeeding step (1.20 g, 34%). ESI–EMM: m/z ([M+Na]⁺) calcd for C₃₄H₃₃F₂N₃O₆Na 640.2230, found 640.2229.

N-9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl-(2*S*)-prolyl-(2*S*)-4,4-difluoroprolylglycine (Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH). MeOH (130 mL) was added carefully to a mixture of Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OBn (1.17 g, 1.89 mmol) and Pd/C (0.29 g) under Ar(g). The resulting suspension was stirred under H₂(g) for 1.5 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite[®] pad and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was isolated by flash chromatography (1:9 EtOAc/hexanes→1:19 MeOH/DCM in 0.1% formic acid). Formic acid was removed by azeotroping repeatedly with toluene, affording Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH (0.39 g, 39%). ¹H NMR (MeOH-*d*₄): δ 7.85–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.25 (m, 4H), 4.71 (dd, *J* = 6.2 and 9.2, 0.5H), 4.64–3.67 (m, 8.5H), 3.67–3.34 (m, 2H), 2.96–1.74 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (MeOH-*d*₄): δ 173.7, 173.3, 172.7, 172.5, 156.7, 156.2, 145.6, 145.4, 145.1, 145.1, 142.6, 128.9, 128.3, 128.2, 126.2, 126.0, 125.9, 121.0, 120.9, 68.8, 68.0, 59.6, 59.2, 59.1, 59.0, 54.8, 54.4, 50.3, 48.5, 41.8, 38.3, 38.0, 37.8, 30.8, 30.1, 25.3, 24.1; ESI–EMM: *m/z* ([M+Na]⁺) calcd for C₂₇H₂₇F₂N₃O₆Na 550.1761, found 550.1774.

N-Acetyl-(2S)-4.4-difluoroproline Methyl Ester (Ac-Dfp-OMe). Following the method of Nudelman et al.,^{S1} a solution of N-Boc-(2S)-4,4-difluoroproline (612 mg, 2.44 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (25 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. Acetyl chloride (25 mL) was added dropwise while stirring, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred under Ar(g) for 3 h. The resulting solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue (HCl·Dfp-OMe) was dried under high vacuum. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), N.N-4dimethylaminopyridine (2.46 g, 20.13 mmol) and acetyl chloride (1.34 mL, 18.91 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred under Ar(g) overnight. DCM (30 mL) was added, and the mixture was washed twice with citric acid(aq) (10% w/v), then dried over MgSO₄(s) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was isolated by flash chromatography (7:3 EtOAc/hexanes→100% EtOAc) affording Ac-Dfp-OMe (170 mg, 34%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz-CDCl₃): δ 4.72 and 4.60 (dd, J = 5.3 and 9.4 and J = 3.1 and 9.4, 1H), 4.10–3.72 (m, 5H), 2.92– 2.42 (m, 2H), 2.10 and 2.10 (2 s, 3H);); ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (470.6 MHz-CDCl₃, referenced to the ¹H NMR spectrum): δ –96.6 (d, J = 235), –98.0 (d, J = 233), –98.9 (d, J = 233), –101.3 (d, J = 235); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 171.0, 170.7, 169.9, 169.5, 129.0, 128.4, 126.5, 126.0, 124.0, 123.5, 77.6, 77.3, 77.0, 58.3, 56.5, 54.9, 54.6, 54.2, 53.5, 53.4, 53.2, 53.0, 52.8, 39.9, 39.7, 39.4, 38.0, 37.7, 37.5, 22.3, 21.2; EI–EMM: m/z calcd for C₈H₁₁F₂NO₃⁺· 207.0702, found 207.0697.

Measurement of $K_{\text{trans/cis}}$ for Ac-Dfp-OMe. Ac-Dfp-OMe (~2 mg) was dissolved in D₂O (~0.8 mL). ¹H NMR spectra were acquired and worked up using the software NUTS. ^{S2} The value of $K_{\text{trans/cis}}$ was determined from the relative areas of the trans and cis peaks. An NOE difference experiment was performed to confirm the assignments of the trans and cis peaks.

Conformational Analysis of Ac-Dfp-OMe by NMR. Ac-Dfp-OMe (~6 mg) was dissolved in CDCl₃ (~0.8 mL) or Ac-Dfp-OMe (~2 mg) was dissolved in D₂O (~0.8 mL). In an effort to extract all ¹H, ¹H coupling constants, ¹H, ¹H {¹⁹F}, ¹⁹F, and ¹⁹F {¹H} spectra were recorded on a Varian INOVA 500 using a Varian 5 mm ¹H/¹⁹F high-field probe in the Magnetic Resonance Facility, Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison. Coupling constants are reported in Table S1. Assuming that the dominant conformations of the pyrrolidine rings in Dfp are C^{γ}-endo and C^{γ}-exo, the preferred conformation of Ac-Dfp-OMe in solution can be determined unambiguously by analysis of ¹H, ¹H coupling constants, if one conformation is strongly favored over the other.^{S3,S4} Nonetheless, the equilibrium between C^{γ}-endo and C^{γ}-exo ring puckers is fast on the NMR timescale. Therefore, if the C^{γ}-endo and C^{γ}-exo ring puckers are similar in energy, an averaged NMR spectrum will be observed and this type of conformational analysis will be ambiguous. In agreement with our computational analyses, we find that the

conformational manifold for Ac-Dfp-OMe is slightly different in the trans and cis conformations, in both CDCl₃ and D₂O. For the trans conformer in CDCl₃ the long-range ${}^{4}J$ coupling between $H\beta$ and $H\delta$ indicates their pseudoequatorial positions (see Figure S1 for proton assignments), which is possible only in the C^{γ}-exo conformation. This result suggests that the C^{γ}-exo conformation is favored by trans Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl₃. For the cis conformer in CDCl₃, the small ³J coupling between H α and H β' is indicative of the C^{γ}-endo conformation, but in the absence of a long-range ⁴J coupling between H β' and H δ' any further analysis is ambiguous. It appears, then, that both the C^{γ}-endo and C^{γ}-exo ring puckers are similar in energy for cis Ac-Dfp-OMe in CDCl₃. For the trans conformer in D₂O, H δ and H δ' overlap and coupling constants could not be determined. Therefore, the only measure of conformation available is the moderate size of the ³J coupling between H α and H β' . This ambiguous analysis suggests that the C^Y-exo and C^{γ}-endo ring puckers of trans Ac-Dfp-OMe are similar in energy in D₂O. For the cis conformer in D₂O, the apparent long-range ⁴J coupling between H β' and H δ' indicates their pseudoequatorial position, which is possible only in the C^{γ}-endo ring pucker. In addition, the small ³J coupling between H α and H β' convincingly indicates that the C^{γ}-endo conformation is favored. In summary, these results confirm that Ac-Dfp-OMe has a high population of at least two ring puckers in solution, as does Ac-Pro-OMe.

Peptide Synthesis. Ac-(ProProGly)₄-(ProDfpGly)-(ProProGly)₅-NH₂ (Dfp-CRP), Ac-(ProProGly)₄-(ProFlpGly)-(ProProGly)₅-NH₂ (Flp-CRP), and Ac-(ProProGly)₁₀-NH₂ (Pro-CRP) were synthesized by segment condensation of Fmoc-ProProGly-OH (described previously^{S5}), Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH, and Fmoc-ProFlpGly-OH (described previously^{S6}) as appropriate on solid phase using an Applied Biosystems Synergy 432A Peptide Synthesizer at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center. The first trimer was loaded onto Fmoc-amide resin under standard coupling conditions. Unreacted sites on the resin were subsequently capped by acetylation, if necessary. Fmoc-deprotections were achieved by treatment with 20% v/v piperidine in DMF. The Fmoc-tripeptides (3 equiv) were converted to active esters by treatment with HBTU, DIEA, and HOBt. Couplings were allowed to proceed for 45-60 min at room temperature. N-Terminal acetylations were performed using 3 equiv of acetic acid and standard coupling reagents. Peptides were cleaved from the resin in 38:1:1 TFA:H₂O:triisopropylsilane (3 mL), precipitated from tert-butylmethylether at 0 °C, and isolated by centrifugation. Semipreparative HPLC was used to purify the peptides Pro-CRP (gradient: 10% B to 75% B over 45 min), Dfp-CRP (gradient: 10% B to 60% B over 45 min), and Flp-CRP (gradient: 20% B to 70% B over 50 min). All three peptides were >90% pure by analytical HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (m/z) $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for C₁₂₂H₁₇₅N₃₁O₃₁ 2594.3, found 2595.4 for Pro-CRP; calcd for C₁₂₂H₁₇₃F₂N₃₁O₃₁ 2630.3, found 2630.5 for Dfp-CRP; calcd for C₁₂₂H₁₇₄FN₃₁O₃₁ 2612.3, found 2612.9 for Flp-CRP.

Circular Dichroism (CD) Spectroscopy. CD spectra were recorded with an Aviv 202SF circular dichroism spectrometer in the University of Wisconsin Biophysics Instrumentation Facility. For the analyses, peptide solutions (90 μ M in 50 mM HOAc, pH 2.9) that had been incubated at $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C for ≥ 48 h were used. Peptide concentrations were standardized by dilution of stock solutions of known concentrations, determined by amino acid analysis at the Biomolecular Resource Facility, University of Texas–Medical Branch, Galveston, TX. CD spectra were recorded in 1-nm increments with a 5-s averaging time, 1-nm bandpass, and 0.1-cm pathlength. The solutions were then heated from 4 °C to 82 °C (for samples in 50 mM HOAc(aq), pH 2.9) at 3-°C increments with a 5-min equilibration at each step. The ellipticity at 225 nm was monitored

with a 5-s averaging time, 1-nm bandpass, and 0.1-cm pathlength. Values of $T_{\rm m}$ were determined by fitting the data to a two-state model.^{S7}

Computational Methodology. The conformational preferences of Ac-Dfp-OMe were examined by hybrid density functional theory as implemented in Gaussian 03.^{S8} Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory were performed on endo and exo conformers in both the trans and cis geometries. Frequency calculations on the optimized structures yielded no imaginary frequencies, indicating true stationary points on the potential energy surface. The resulting self-consistent field (SCF) energies were corrected by the zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) determined in the frequency calculations, and are listed in Table S2.

References and notes

- S1. Nudelman, A.; Bechor, Y.; Falb, E.; Fischer, B.; Wexler, B. A.; Nudelman, A. Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 471.
- S2. NUTS-NMR Utility Transform Software, Acorn NMR, Inc., 7670 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA 94551.
- S3. Gerig, J.T.; McLeod, R.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5725.
- S4. Sonntag, L.-L.; Schweizer, S.; Ochsenfeld, C.; Wennemers, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14697.
- S5. Jenkins, C. L.; Vasbinder, M. M.; Miller, S. J.; Raines, R. T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2619.
- S6. Holmgren, S. K.; Bretscher, L. E.; Taylor, K. M.; Raines, R. T. Chem. Biol. 1999, 6, 63.
- S7. Becktel, W. J.; Schellman, J. A. *Biopolymers* 1987, 26, 1859-1877.
- S8. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A. J.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, Revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.



Scheme S1. Synthesis of Ac-Dfp-OMe and Fmoc-ProDfpGly-OH.



Figure S1. C^{γ} -endo and C^{γ} -exo conformations of Ac-Dfp-OMe.

	D ₂ O		CD	Cl ₃
$J_{(\mathrm{H-H})}$	trans	trans	cis	cis
Нα–Нβ	9.9	9.3	9.5	9.8
$H\alpha - H\beta'$	4.5	5.4	3.2	1.7
Н <i>β</i> –Нβ′	14.5	14.3	14.2	14.4
Нβ–Нδ		1.2	—	
$H\beta'-H\delta'$			—	1.7
$\mathrm{H}\delta\!\!-\!\mathrm{H}\delta'$		11.5	13.5	13.7

Table S1. Coupling constants of the trans and cis conformers of Ac-Dfp-OMe in D₂O and CDCl₃

Table S2. SCF energies (atomic units; au) calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory

Ac-Dfp-OMe conformer	Energy	ZPE correction	Energy (corrected)
trans, exo	-791.8660836	0.192957	-791.6731266
trans endo	-791.8648927	0.192588	-791.6723047
cis exo	-791.8634706	0.192598	-791.6708726
cis endo	-791.8638557	0.192569	-791.6712867

























