

Collagen Structure and Stability

Matthew D. Shoulders¹ and Ronald T. Raines^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry and ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706; email: raines@biochem.wisc.edu

Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2009. 78:929–58

First published online as a Review in Advance on April 3, 2009

The *Annual Review of Biochemistry* is online at biochem.annualreviews.org

This article's doi:
10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.032207.120833

Copyright © 2009 by Annual Reviews.
All rights reserved

0066-4154/09/0707-0929\$20.00

Key Words

biomaterials, extracellular matrix, fibrillogenesis, proline, stereoelectronic effects, triple helix

Abstract

Collagen is the most abundant protein in animals. This fibrous, structural protein comprises a right-handed bundle of three parallel, left-handed polyproline II-type helices. Much progress has been made in elucidating the structure of collagen triple helices and the physicochemical basis for their stability. New evidence demonstrates that stereoelectronic effects and preorganization play a key role in that stability. The fibrillar structure of type I collagen—the prototypical collagen fibril—has been revealed in detail. Artificial collagen fibrils that display some properties of natural collagen fibrils are now accessible using chemical synthesis and self-assembly. A rapidly emerging understanding of the mechanical and structural properties of native collagen fibrils will guide further development of artificial collagenous materials for biomedicine and nanotechnology.

Contents

INTRODUCTION	930
STRUCTURE OF THE COLLAGEN TRIPLE HELIX	930
UNDERSTANDING TRIPLE-HELIX STRUCTURE AND STABILITY	934
Interstrand Hydrogen Bonds	935
Glycine Substitutions	935
Prolines in the Xaa and Yaa Positions	936
Role of Hyp	937
Proline Derivatives in the Xaa Position	940
An $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ Interaction	941
Hyp in the Xaa Position	942
Heterotrimeric Synthetic Triple Helices	942
Nonproline Substitutions in the Xaa and Yaa Positions	944
HIGHER-ORDER COLLAGEN STRUCTURE	944
Fibril Structure	944
Nucleation and Modulation of Collagen Fibrillogenesis	946
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF COLLAGEN FIBRILS	946
COLLAGENOUS BIOMATERIALS	947
Collagen via Chemical Synthesis	947
Biological and Biomedical Applications of Synthetic Collagen	948

ECM: extracellular matrix

PPII: polyproline II type

Hyp: (2S,4R)-4-hydroxyproline

Tropocollagen (TC): the monomeric collagen triple helix after proteolysis of collagen propeptides

INTRODUCTION

Collagen is an abundant structural protein in all animals. In humans, collagen comprises one-third of the total protein, accounts for three-quarters of the dry weight of skin, and is the most prevalent component of the extracellular matrix (ECM). Twenty-eight different types of collagen composed of at least 46 distinct polypeptide chains have been identified in vertebrates, and many other proteins contain collagenous domains (1, 2). Remarkably, intact

collagen has been discovered in soft tissue of the fossilized bones of a 68 million-year-old *Tyrannosaurus rex* fossil (3, 4), by far the oldest protein detected to date. That discovery is, however, under challenge (5, 6).

The defining feature of collagen is an elegant structural motif in which three parallel polypeptide strands in a left-handed, polyproline II-type (PPII) helical conformation coil about each other with a one-residue stagger to form a right-handed triple helix (**Figure 1**). The tight packing of PPII helices within the triple helix mandates that every third residue be Gly, resulting in a repeating XaaYaaGly sequence, where Xaa and Yaa can be any amino acid. This repeat occurs in all types of collagen, although it is disrupted at certain locations within the triple-helical domain of nonfibrillar collagens (8). The amino acids in the Xaa and Yaa positions of collagen are often (2S)-proline (Pro, 28%) and (2S,4R)-4-hydroxyproline (Hyp, 38%), respectively. ProHypGly is the most common triplet (10.5%) in collagen (9). In animals, individual collagen triple helices, known as tropocollagen (TC), assemble in a complex, hierarchical manner that ultimately leads to the macroscopic fibers and networks observed in tissue, bone, and basement membranes (**Figure 2**).

The categories of collagen include the classical fibrillar and network-forming collagens, the FACITs (fibril-associated collagens with interrupted triple helices), MACITs (membrane-associated collagens with interrupted triple helices), and MULTIPLEXINs (multiple triple-helix domains and interruptions). Collagen types, their distribution, composition, and pathology are listed in **Table 1**. It is noteworthy that, although the three polypeptide chains in the triple helix of each collagen type can be identical, heterotrimeric triple helices are more prevalent than are homotrimeric triple helices.

STRUCTURE OF THE COLLAGEN TRIPLE HELIX

In 1940, Astbury & Bell (11) proposed that the collagen molecule consists of a single

extended polypeptide chain with all amide bonds in the *cis* conformation. A significant advance was achieved when, in the same 1951 issue of the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* in which they put forth the correct structures for the α -helix and β -sheet, Pauling & Corey (12) proposed a structure for collagen. In that structure, three polypeptide strands were held together in a helical conformation by hydrogen bonds. Within each amino acid triplet, those hydrogen bonds engaged four of the six main chain heteroatoms, and their formation required two of the three peptide bonds to be in the *cis* conformation. In 1954, Ramachandran & Kartha (13, 14) advanced a structure for the collagen triple helix on the basis of fiber diffraction data. Their structure was a right-handed triple helix of three staggered, left-handed PPII helices with all peptide bonds in the *trans* conformation and two hydrogen bonds within each triplet. In 1955, this structure was refined by Rich & Crick (15–16) and by North and coworkers (17) to the triple-helical structure accepted today, which has a single interstrand $\text{N-H}_{(\text{Gly})} \cdots \text{O}=\text{C}_{(\text{Xaa})}$ hydrogen bond per triplet and a tenfold helical symmetry with a 28.6-Å axial repeat (10/3 helical pitch) (**Figure 1**).

Fiber diffraction studies cannot reveal the structure of collagen at atomic resolution. Exacerbating this difficulty, the large size, insolubility, repetitive sequence, and complex hierarchical structure of native collagen thwart most biochemical and biophysical analyses. Hence, a reductionist approach using triple-helical, collagen-related peptides (CRPs) has been employed extensively since the late 1960s (18).

In 1994, Berman and coworkers (19) reported the first high-resolution crystal structure of a triple-helical CRP (**Figure 1a**). This structure confirmed the existence of interstrand $\text{N-H}_{(\text{Gly})} \cdots \text{O}=\text{C}_{(\text{Xaa})}$ hydrogen bonds (**Figure 1c,d**) and provided additional insights, including that $\text{C}^{\alpha}\text{-H}_{(\text{Gly}/\text{Yaa})} \cdots \text{O}=\text{C}_{(\text{Xaa}/\text{Gly})}$ hydrogen bonds could likewise stabilize the triple helix (20). Using CRPs and X-ray crystallography, the structural impact of a single




Figure 1

Overview of the collagen triple helix. (*a*) First high-resolution crystal structure of a collagen triple helix, formed from (ProHypGly)₄–(ProHypAla)–(ProHypGly)₅ [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 1cag] (19). (*b*) View down the axis of a (ProProGly)₁₀ triple helix [PDB entry 1k6f (7)] with the three strands depicted in space-filling, ball-and-stick, and ribbon representation. (*c*) Ball-and-stick image of a segment of collagen triple helix [PDB entry 1cag (19)], highlighting the ladder of interstrand hydrogen bonds. (*d*) Stagger of the three strands in the segment in panel *c*.

Gly → Ala substitution was observed (19), the effects of neighboring charged residues in a triple helix were analyzed (21), and a snapshot of the interaction of a triple-helical CRP with the I domain of integrin $\alpha_2\beta_1$ was obtained (**Figure 3**) (22).

Most X-ray crystallographic studies on CRPs have been performed on proline-rich collagenous sequences. All of the resulting structures have a 7/2 helical pitch (20.0-Å axial repeat), in contrast to the 10/3 helical pitch (28.6-Å axial repeat) predicted for natural collagen by fiber diffraction (17). On the basis of X-ray crystal structures of proline-rich

CRP: collagen-related peptide




Figure 2

Biosynthetic route to collagen fibers (110), which are the major component of skin. Size and complexity are increased by posttranslational modifications and self-assembly. Oxidation of lysine side chains leads to the spontaneous formation of hydroxylysyl pyridinoline and lysyl pyridinoline cross-links.

*Errata

CRPs, and in accordance with an early proposal regarding the helical pitch of natural triple helices (23), Okuyama and coworkers (24) postulated that the correct average helical pitch for natural collagen is $7/2$. The generality

of this hypothesis is unclear, as few regions of natural collagen are as proline rich as the CRPs analyzed by X-ray crystallography. The actual helical pitch of collagen likely varies across the domains and types of natural collagen.

*This PDF amended on (21 Apr. 2010): See explanation at <http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/errata/biochem>

Table 1 Vertebrate collagens^a

Type	Class	Composition	Distribution ^b	Pathology ^c
I	Fibrillar	$\alpha 1[\text{I}]_2 \alpha 2[\text{I}]$	Abundant and widespread: dermis, bone, tendon, ligament	OI, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, osteoporosis
II	Fibrillar	$\alpha 1[\text{II}]_3$	Cartilage, vitreous	Osteoarthritis, chondrodysplasias
III	Fibrillar	$\alpha 1[\text{III}]_3$	Skin, blood vessels, intestine	Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, arterial aneurysms
IV	Network	$\alpha 1[\text{IV}]_2 \alpha 2[\text{IV}]$ $\alpha 3[\text{IV}] \alpha 4[\text{IV}] \alpha 5[\text{IV}]$ $\alpha 5[\text{IV}]_2 \alpha 6[\text{IV}]$	Basement membranes	Alport syndrome
V	Fibrillar	$\alpha 1[\text{V}]_3$ $\alpha 1[\text{V}]_2 \alpha 2[\text{V}]$ $\alpha 1[\text{V}] \alpha 2[\text{V}] \alpha 3[\text{V}]$	Widespread: bone, dermis, cornea, placenta	Ehlers–Danlos syndrome
VI	Network	$\alpha 1[\text{VI}] \alpha 2[\text{VI}] \alpha 3[\text{VI}]^d$ $\alpha 1[\text{VI}] \alpha 2[\text{VI}] \alpha 4[\text{VI}]$	Widespread: bone, cartilage, cornea, dermis	Bethlem myopathy
VII	Anchoring fibrils	$\alpha 1[\text{VII}]_2 \alpha 2[\text{VII}]$	Dermis, bladder	Epidermolysis bullosa acquisita
VIII	Network	$\alpha 1[\text{VIII}]_3$ $\alpha 2[\text{VIII}]_3$ $\alpha 1[\text{VIII}]_2 \alpha 2[\text{VIII}]$	Widespread: dermis, brain, heart, kidney	Fuchs endothelia corneal dystrophy
IX	FACIT ^e	$\alpha 1[\text{IX}] \alpha 2[\text{IX}] \alpha 3[\text{IX}]$	Cartilage, cornea, vitreous	Osteoarthritis, multiple epiphyseal dysplasia
X	Network	$\alpha 1[\text{X}]_3$	Cartilage	Chondrodysplasia
XI	Fibrillar	$\alpha 1[\text{XI}] \alpha 2[\text{XI}] \alpha 3[\text{XI}]$	Cartilage, intervertebral disc	Chondrodysplasia, osteoarthritis
XII	FACIT	$\alpha 1[\text{XII}]_3$	Dermis, tendon	—
XIII	MACIT	—	Endothelial cells, dermis, eye, heart	—
XIV	FACIT	$\alpha 1[\text{XIV}]_3$	Widespread: bone, dermis, cartilage	—
XV	MULTIPLEXIN	—	Capillaries, testis, kidney, heart	—
XVI	FACIT	—	Dermis, kidney	—
XVII	MACIT	$\alpha 1[\text{XVII}]_3$	Hemidesmosomes in epithelia	Generalized atrophic epidermolysis bullosa
XVIII	MULTIPLEXIN	—	Basement membrane, liver	Knobloch syndrome
XIX	FACIT	—	Basement membrane	—
XX	FACIT	—	Cornea (chick)	—
XXI	FACIT	—	Stomach, kidney	—
XXII	FACIT	—	Tissue junctions	—
XXIII	MACIT	—	Heart, retina	—
XXIV	Fibrillar	—	Bone, cornea	—
XXV	MACIT	—	Brain, heart, testis	Amyloid formation?
XXVI	FACIT	—	Testis, ovary	—
XXVII	Fibrillar	—	Cartilage	—
XXVIII ^f	—	—	Dermis, sciatic nerve	Neurodegenerative disease?

^aInformation is updated from References 1 and 32.

^bPartial listing of tissues in which the relevant collagen type occurs.

^cFor a discussion of the role of specific collagen types in human disease, see Reference 32.

^d $\alpha 4[\text{VI}]$, $\alpha 5[\text{VI}]$, and $\alpha 6[\text{VI}]$ chains were reported in 2008 (10), but the composition of triple helices containing $\alpha 5[\text{VI}]$ and $\alpha 6[\text{VI}]$ is unknown.

^eAbbreviations: FACIT, fibril-associated collagen with interrupted triple helices; MACIT, membrane-associated collagen with interrupted triple helices; MULTIPLEXIN, multiple triple-helix domains and interruptions.

^fCollagen XXVIII was reported in 2006 (2).




Figure 3

Snapshots of interesting crystal structures of collagen triple helices. (a) Impact of a Gly→Ala substitution on the structure of a collagen triple helix formed from the collagen-related peptide (CRP) (ProHypGly)₄–(ProHypAla)–(ProHypGly)₅ [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 1cag (19)]. The Ala residues (*red*) disturb the structure. Mutations leading to such structural irregularities are common in osteogenesis imperfecta and can be lethal. (b) Depiction of the effect of a single GluLysGly triplet on the packing of neighboring triple-helical CRPs in crystalline (ProHypGly)₄–(GluLysGly)–(ProHypGly)₅ [PDB entry 1qsu (21)]. The axial stagger of the individual triple helices, which is presumably compelled by deleterious Coulombic interactions between charged residues, is reminiscent of the *D*-periodic structure in collagen fibrils. Similar interactions could contribute to the morphology of collagen fibrils. (c) Triple-helical CRP containing the integrin-binding domain GFOGER in complex with the I domain of integrin $\alpha_2\beta_1$ [PDB entry 1dzi (22)]. The bend in the triple helix is thought to arise from the protein–protein interaction. A Glu residue in the middle strand of the triple helix coordinates to cobalt(II) bound in the I domain of integrin $\alpha_2\beta_1$.

Specifically, the helical pitch could be 10/3 in proline-poor regions and 7/2 in proline-rich regions. This proposal is supported by the observation that proline-poor regions within crystalline CRPs occasionally display a 10/3 helical pitch (25, 26). Variability in the triple-helical pitch of native collagen could play a role in the interaction of collagenous domains with other biomolecules (22, 27–29).

UNDERSTANDING TRIPLE-HELIX STRUCTURE AND STABILITY

The vital importance of collagen as a scaffold for animals demands a manifold of essential characteristics. These characteristics include thermal stability, mechanical strength, and the ability to engage in specific interactions with

other biomolecules. Understanding how such properties are derived from the fundamental structural unit of collagen—the triple helix—necessitates a comprehensive knowledge of the mechanisms underlying triple-helix structure and stability.

Interstrand Hydrogen Bonds

The ubiquity of collagen makes the ladder of recurrent $\text{N-H}_{(\text{Gly})} \cdots \text{O}=\text{C}_{(\text{Xaa})}$ hydrogen bonds that form within the triple helix (Figure 1*c,d*) the most abundant amide–amide hydrogen bond in kingdom *Animalia*. Replacing the Yaa–Gly amide bond with an ester in a host–guest CRP (Figure 4*a,b*) enabled estimation of the strength of each amide–amide hydrogen bond as $\Delta G^\circ = -2.0$ kcal/mol (30). Boryskina and coworkers (31) used a variety of other experimental techniques to assess this same parameter, estimating the strength of each amide–amide hydrogen bond within a poly(GlyProPro) CRP as $\Delta G^\circ = -1.8$ kcal/mol and within native collagen as $\Delta G^\circ = -1.4$ kcal/mol.

Glycine Substitutions

Numerous collagen-related diseases are associated with mutations in both triple-helical and nontriple-helical domains of various collagens (Table 1). These diseases have been reviewed in detail elsewhere (32) and are not discussed extensively herein.

The Gly residue in the XaaYaaGly repeat is invariant in natural collagen, and favorable substitutions are unknown in CRPs (33). A computational study suggested that replacing the obligate Gly residues of collagen with D-alanine or D-serine would stabilize the triple helix (34) and thus that the Gly residues in collagen are surrogates for nonnatural D-amino acids. Subsequent experimental data demonstrated, however, that this notion was erroneous (35).

Many of the most damaging mutations to collagen genes result in the replacement of a Gly residue within the triple helix (Figure 1*c,d*). Both the identity of the amino




Figure 4

Importance of interstrand hydrogen bonds for collagen triple-helix stability.

(a) A segment of a (ProProGly)₁₀ triple helix. (b) Comparison of the stability of a triple helix formed from (ProProGly)₄–ProProOGly–(ProProGly)₅, wherein one Pro–Gly amide bond is replaced with an ester, with that in panel *a* revealing that each interstrand hydrogen bond contributes $\Delta G = -2.0$ kcal/mol to triple-helix stability (30). (c) Crystal structure of a triple helix formed from a collagen-related peptide that mimics a common sequence in type IV collagen, (GlyProHyp)₃–(3*S*-HypHypGly)₂–(GlyProHyp)₄, showing that 3*S*-Hyp in the Xaa position yields a prototypical collagen triple helix [PDB entry 2g66 (78)]. (d) 2*S*,3*S*-3-Fluoroproline in the Xaa position destabilizes a collagen triple helix, perhaps by withdrawing electron density from the proximal Xaa carbonyl and thereby reducing the strength of the interstrand hydrogen bond (79).

acid replacing Gly and the location of that substitution can impact the pathology of, for example, osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) (33, 36). Substitutions for Gly in proline-rich portions of the collagen sequence (Figure 3*a*) are far less disruptive than those in proline-poor regions, a testament to the importance of Pro derivatives for triple-helix nucleation (37). In vivo, triple helices fold in a C-terminal→N-terminal manner (38). The time delay between disruption of triple-helix folding by a Gly substitution and renucleation of the folding process N-terminal to the substitution site is much shorter when

OI: osteogenesis imperfecta

Protocollagen: the nonhydroxylated, nontriple-helical form of collagen prior to the action of P4H, P3H, lysyl hydroxylase, and protein disulfide isomerase

Preorganization: the extent to which hosts and guests are organized for binding prior to their complexation, thereby increasing complex stability

P4H: prolyl 4-hydroxylase

triple-helix nucleating, proline-rich sequences are immediately N-terminal to the substitution site (37). Any delay in triple-helix folding results in overmodification of the protocollagen chains [in particular, inordinate hydroxylation of Lys residues N-terminal to the Gly substitution and excessive glycosylation of the resultant hydroxylysine residues (**Figure 2**)], thereby perturbing triple-helical structure and contributing to the severity of OI (39). Thus, the severity of OI correlates with the abundance of triple-helix nucleating, proline-rich sequences immediately N-terminal to the substitution site (36).

Prolines in the Xaa and Yaa Positions

In the strands of human collagen, ~22% of all residues are either Pro or Hyp (9). The abundance of these residues preorganizes the individual strands in a PPII conformation, thereby decreasing the entropic cost for collagen folding (40). Despite their stabilizing properties, Pro derivatives also have certain deleterious consequences for triple-helix folding and stability that partially offset their favorable effects. For example, Pro has a secondary amino group and forms tertiary amides within a peptide or protein. Tertiary amides have a significant population of both the *trans* and the *cis* isomers (**Figure 5**), whereas all peptide bonds in collagen are *trans*. Thus, before a (ProHypGly)_n strand can fold into a triple helix, all the *cis*




Figure 5

Pro *cis-trans* isomerization. Unlike other proteinogenic amino acids, Pro forms tertiary amide bonds, resulting in a significant population of the *cis* conformation.

peptide bonds must isomerize to *trans*. *N*-Methylalanine (an acyclic, tertiary amide missing only C^γ of Pro) decreases triple-helix stability when used to replace Pro or Hyp in CRPs, presumably because it lacks the preorganization imposed by the pyrrolidine ring of Pro derivatives (41). In contrast, avoiding the issue of *cis-trans* isomerization altogether by replacing a Gly–Pro amide bond with a *trans*-locked alkene isostere also results in a destabilized triple helix, despite leaving all interchain hydrogen bonds intact (42). Clearly, the factors dictating triple-helix structure and stability are intertwined in a complex manner (*vide infra*).

Pro residues in the Yaa position of protocollagen triplets are modified by prolyl 4-hydroxylase (P4H), a nonheme iron enzyme that catalyzes the posttranslational and stereoselective hydroxylation of the unactivated γ-carbon of Pro residues in the Yaa position of collagen sequences to form Hyp (**Figure 6**). P4H activity is required for the viability of




Figure 6

Reaction catalyzed by prolyl 4-hydroxylase (P4H). Pro residues in the Yaa position of collagen strands are converted into Hyp prior to triple-helix formation.

both the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and the mouse *Mus musculus* (43, 44). Thus, Hyp is essential for the formation of sound collagen in vivo.

Role of Hyp

The hydroxylation of Pro residues in the Yaa position of collagen increases dramatically the thermal stability of triple helices (Table 2). This stabilization occurs when the resultant Hyp is in the Yaa position (45, 46) but not in the Xaa position, nor when the hydroxyl

group is installed in the 4S configuration as in (2S,4S)-4-hydroxyproline (hyp) (Table 2) (47, 48). These findings led to the proposal that the 4R configuration of a prolyl hydroxyl group is privileged in alone enabling the formation of water-mediated hydrogen bonds that stitch together the folded triple helix (49). Indeed, such water bridges between Hyp and main chain heteroatoms were observed by Berman and coworkers (19, 50) in their seminal X-ray crystallographic studies of CRPs. The frequency of Hyp in most natural collagen is, however, too low to support an extensive network of water bridges. For example, four or more repeating triads of Xaa-Hyp-Gly occur only twice in the amino acid sequence of human type I collagen.

The hypothesis that the water bridges observed in crystalline (ProHypGly)_n triple helices are meaningful was tested by replacing Hyp residues in CRPs with (2S,4R)-4-fluoroproline (Flp). As fluoro groups do not form strong hydrogen bonds (51), water bridges cannot play a major role in stabilizing a (ProFlpGly)₁₀ triple helix. Nonetheless, (ProFlpGly)₁₀ triple helices are hyperstable (Table 2) (52, 53). Accordingly, water bridges cannot be of fundamental importance for triple-helix stability. How, then, does 4R-hydroxylation of Yaa-position Pro residues stabilize the triple helix?

A gauche effect. Replacing Hyp in the Yaa position with (2S,4S)-4-fluoroproline (flp), a diastereomer of Flp, prevents triple-helix formation (Table 2) (54). This discovery that the stereochemistry of electronegative substituents at the 4-position of the Pro ring is important for the formation of stable triple helices suggests that Flp and Hyp in the Yaa position stabilize collagen via a stereoelectronic effect, rather than a simple inductive effect (54). Pro and its derivatives prefer one of two major pyrrolidine ring puckers, which are termed C^γ-*exo* and C^γ-*endo* (Figure 7). [The ring actually prefers two distinct twist, rather than envelope, conformations (55). As C^γ experiences a large out-of-plane displacement in the twisted rings, we refer to pyrrolidine ring

hyp: (2S,4S)-4-hydroxyproline

Flp: (2S,4R)-4-fluoroproline

flp: (2S,4S)-4-fluoroproline

Stereoelectronic effects: relationships between structure, conformation, energy, and reactivity that result from the alignment of filled or unfilled electronic orbitals

Table 2 Values of T_m for triple-helical CRPs

(XaaYaaGly) _n	T _m (°C) ^a	References
(ProFlpGly) ₇	45	(54)
(ProHypGly) ₇	36	(54)
(mepMepGly) ₇	36	(65)
(flpProGly) ₇	33	(68)
(ProMepGly) ₇	29	(65)
(ProClpGly) ₇	23	(61)
(mepProGly) ₇	13	(65)
(clpProGly) ₇	No helix	(61)
(ProProGly) ₇	No helix	(79)
(flpFlpGly) ₇	No helix	(79)
(ProflpGly) ₇	No helix	(54)
(FlpProGly) ₇	No helix	(68)
(ProFlpGly) ₁₀	91	(53)
(ProMopGly) ₁₀	70	(59)
(HypHypGly) ₁₀	65	(85)
(ProHypGly) ₁₀	61–69	(53, 85)
(flpProGly) ₁₀	58	(69)
(ProClpGly) ₁₀	52	(61)
(clpProGly) ₁₀	33	(61)
(ProProGly) ₁₀	31–41	(53, 64)
(flpFlpGly) ₁₀	30	(94)
(clpClpGly) ₁₀	No helix	(61)
(HypProGly) ₁₀	No helix	(84)
(ProhypGly) ₁₀	No helix	(47)
(FlpProGly) ₁₀	No helix	(69)
(ClpProGly) ₁₀	No helix	(61)
(hypProGly) ₁₀	No helix	(47)

^a Values of T_m depend on both CRP concentration and heating rate. Hence, detailed comparisons require knowledge of experimental procedures.




Figure 7

Ring conformations of Pro and Pro derivatives. The C^γ -endo conformation is favored strongly by stereoelectronic effects when $R^1 = \text{H}$, $R^2 = \text{F}$ (flp) or Cl (clp), and by steric effects when $R^1 = \text{Me}$ (mep) or SH (mcp), $R^2 = \text{H}$. The C^γ -exo conformation is favored strongly by stereoelectronic effects when $R^1 = \text{OH}$ (Hyp), F (Flp), OMe (Mop), or Cl (Clp), $R^2 = \text{H}$, and by steric effects when $R^1 = \text{H}$, $R^2 = \text{Me}$ (Mep) or SH (Mcp). The C^γ -endo: C^γ -exo ratio is ~ 2 when $R^1 = R^2 = \text{H}$ (56).

puckers simply as C^γ -exo and C^γ -endo.] Pro itself has a slight preference for the C^γ -endo ring pucker (**Table 3**) (56). A key attribute of a 4*R* fluoro group on Pro (as well as the natural 4*R* hydroxyl group) is its imposition of a C^γ -exo pucker on the pyrrolidine ring via the gauche effect (**Figure 8a,b**) (56–58). The C^γ -exo ring pucker preorganizes the main chain torsion angles (ϕ , $\text{C}_{i-1}-\text{N}_i-\text{C}^\alpha_i-\text{C}'_i$; ψ , $\text{N}_i-\text{C}^\alpha_i-\text{C}'_i-\text{N}_{i+1}$; and ω , $\text{C}^\alpha_i-\text{C}'_i-\text{N}_{i+1}-\text{C}\alpha_{i+1}$) to those in the Yaa position of a triple helix (**Table 4**). Thus, 4*R*-hydroxylation of Pro residues in the Yaa position of collagen stabilizes the triple helix via a stereoelectronic effect. Flp is more stabilizing than Hyp because fluorine ($\chi_{\text{F}} = 4.0$) is more electronegative than oxygen ($\chi_{\text{O}} = 3.5$), and a fluoro group

Table 3 Conformation of Pro and its 4-substituted derivatives that prefer the Xaa position [$\phi = -75^\circ$, $\psi = 164^\circ$ (7)] in a collagen triple helix

Residue (References)		Crystal structure	Ring pucker	$(E_{\text{endo}}-E_{\text{exo}})^a$ (kcal/mol)	ϕ (degrees)	ψ (degrees)	$K_{\text{trans/cis}}^c$
Pro (54, 56, 57)			C^γ -endo	−0.41	−79 ^b	177 ^b	4.6
mcp (66)		—	C^γ -endo	—	—	—	4.7
mep (65)		—	C^γ -endo	−1.4	—	—	3.7
flp (54, 56, 68)		—	C^γ -endo	−0.61	−76 ^b	172 ^a	2.5
clp (61)		—	C^γ -endo	—	—	—	2.2

^aFrom DFT calculations.

^bValues of ϕ and ψ (here, $\text{N}_i-\text{C}^\alpha_i-\text{C}'_i-\text{O}_{i+1}$) are from the crystal structure of Ac-Pro-OMe, which has a *cis* peptide bond.

^cValues of $K_{\text{trans/cis}}$ (**Figure 5**) were determined in solution by NMR spectroscopy.

($F_F = 0.45$) manifests a greater inductive effect than does a hydroxyl group ($F_{OH} = 0.33$). Thus, a 4R fluoro group enforces the C^γ-*exo* ring pucker of a Pro derivative more strongly than does a 4R hydroxyl group.

To probe further the role of Hyp in collagen stability, a (2*S*,4*R*)-4-methoxyproline residue (Mop) was incorporated into the Yaa position of a (ProYaaGly)₁₀ CRP (59). *O*-Methylation is perhaps the simplest possible covalent modification of a Hyp residue and reduces the extent of hydration without altering significantly the electron-withdrawing ability of the 4*R* substituent. Accordingly, Mop and Hyp residues have similar conformations (Table 4). Interestingly, reducing the hydration of (ProHypGly)₁₀ by methylation of Hyp residues enhances triple-helix stability significantly (Table 2). Moreover, alkylation with functional groups larger than a methyl group does not necessarily perturb triple-helix stability (60). Notably, (2*S*,4*R*)-4-chloroproline (Clp) residues also stabilize triple helices in the Yaa position (Table 2) (61). Like Flp, Clp has a strong preference for the C^γ-*exo* ring pucker, and a (ProClpGly)₁₀ triple helix is therefore more stable than a (ProProGly)₁₀ triple helix. Thus, a plethora of data indicate that the hydroxyl group of Hyp stabilizes collagen through a stereoelectronic effect. Water bridges provide little (if any) net thermodynamic advantage to natural collagen (59).

Surprisingly, a host-guest CRP of the form AcGly-(ProHypGly)₃-ProFlpGly-(ProHypGly)₄-GlyNH₂ actually forms a less stable triple helix than does AcGly-(ProHypGly)₈-GlyNH₂ (62). In contrast, a host-guest CRP of the form (GlyProHyp)₃-GlyProFlp-GlyValCys-GlyAspLys-GlyAsnPro-GlyTrpPro-GlyAlaPro-(GlyProHyp)₄-NH₂ forms a more stable triple helix than one containing Hyp rather than Flp (63). These results suggest that a fluoro group might disrupt the hydration induced by a long string of Hyp residues. Kobayashi and coworkers (64) used differential scanning calorimetry to demonstrate that (ProHypGly)₁₀ triple helices are stabilized by enthalpy, whereas (ProFlpGly)₁₀ triple helices are stabilized by entropy. These findings are















Figure 8

Stereoelectronic effects that stabilize the collagen triple helix. (a) A gauche effect and an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction preorganize main chain torsion angles and enhance triple-helix stability. (b) A gauche effect, elicited by an electron-withdrawing group (EWG) in the 4*R* position, stabilizes the C^γ-*exo* ring pucker. (c) An $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction stabilizes the *trans* isomer of the peptide bond but is substantial only when Pro derivatives are in the C^γ-*exo* ring pucker (e.g., R¹ = OH or F, R² = H). (d) Depiction of overlap between n and π^* natural bond orbitals (NBOView[©]) in a Pro residue with C^γ-*exo* pucker.

consistent with Hyp decreasing the entropic cost for folding via main chain preorganization but increasing that cost by specific hydration. This interpretation is in accord with the stability of (ProMopGly)₁₀ triple helices arising from a nearly equal contribution of enthalpy and entropy (59).

A steric effect. Electronegative substituents on Pro rings are not the only means of enforcing an advantageous ring pucker. Pro ring pucker can also be dictated by steric effects, as in (2*S*,4*S*)-4-methylproline (Mep) (65) and (2*S*,4*R*)-4-mercaptoproline (Mpc) (Figure 7) (66). The 4-methyl substituent of Mep prefers the pseudoequatorial orientation and thus enforces the C^γ-*exo* ring pucker of Pro (analogous results are observed for Mpc) (Table 4).

Table 4 Conformation of 4-substituted derivatives of Pro that prefer the Yaa position [$\phi = -60^\circ$, $\psi = 152^\circ$ (7)] in a collagen triple helix

Residue (References)		Crystal structure	Ring pucker	($E_{endo}-E_{exo}$) ^a (kcal/mol)	ϕ^b (degrees)	ψ^b (degrees)	$K_{trans/cis}^c$
Mep (65)			C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	1.7	-62 ^d	153 ^d	7.4
Mop (59)			C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	—	-58	148	6.7
Flp (52, 54, 56, 57)			C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	0.85	-55	141	6.7
Hyp (46, 54, 57, 58)			C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	—	-57	151	6.1
Clp (61)			C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	—	-56	148	5.4
Mcp (66)		—	C ^Y - <i>exo</i>	—	—	—	5.4

^aFrom DFT calculations.

^bValues of ϕ and ψ (here, $N_T-C_T^{\alpha}-C_T^{\gamma}-O_{i+1}$) are from the crystal structure of Ac-Yaa-OMe.

^cValues of $K_{trans/cis}$ (**Figure 5**) were determined in solution by NMR spectroscopy.

^dM.D. Shoulders, I.A. Guzei & R.T. Raines, unpublished data.

Indeed, triple helices formed from (ProMepGly)₇ have stability similar to those formed from (ProHypGly)₇ (**Table 2**) (65).

Proline Derivatives in the Xaa Position

The C^Y-*exo* ring pucker of Pro residues in the Yaa position enhances triple-helix stability. Likewise, the ring pucker of Pro in the Xaa position is important for triple-helix stability. Typically, Pro residues in the Xaa position of biological collagen are not hydroxylated and usually display the C^Y-*endo* ring pucker (67). By employing C^Y-substituents, both the gauche effect and steric effects can be availed to preorganize

the C^Y-*endo* ring pucker (**Figures 7 and 8**). Installation of flp, (2*S*,4*S*)-4-chloroproline (clp), or (2*S*,4*R*)-4-methylproline (mep) residues (all of which prefer the C^Y-*endo* ring pucker) (**Table 3**) in the Xaa position of collagen is stabilizing relative to Pro, but installation of Flp, Clp, or Hyp (which prefer the C^Y-*exo* ring pucker) is destabilizing (**Table 2**) (61, 65, 68–70). These results suggest that preorganizing the C^Y-*endo* ring pucker in the Xaa position of CRPs stabilizes triple helices. This conclusion is reasonable because Pro derivatives with a C^Y-*endo* ring pucker have ϕ and ψ main chain torsion angles similar to those observed in the Xaa position of triple helices (**Table 3**).

Notably, replacing Pro in the Xaa position of (ProProGly)₁₀ with hyp, a Pro derivative that, like flp and clp, should prefer the C^γ-*endo* ring pucker owing to the gauche effect, yields CRPs that do not form triple helices (**Table 2**) (47). This anomalous result for hyp in the Xaa position could be attributable to deleterious hydration, idiosyncratic conformational preferences of hyp residues, or both (71).

Type IV collagen, which is the primary component of basement membranes, has a high incidence of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxyproline (3S-Hyp) in the Xaa position (72). This modification is present in some other collagen types and in invertebrate collagens. 3S-Hyp, which prefers a C^γ-*endo* ring pucker (73), is introduced almost exclusively within ProHypGly triplets via post-translational modification of individual collagen strands by prolyl 3-hydroxylase (P3H), which is distinct from P4H (74). A recessive form of OI is associated with a P3H deficiency (75, 76). Certain mutations to the gene encoding cartilage-associated protein, a P3H-helper protein, prevent 3S-hydroxylation of α1(I)Pro986 as well as 3S-hydroxylation of some other Xaa-position Pro residues, resulting in a phenotype nearly identical to classical OI. The underlying basis for the importance of 3S-hydroxylation of α1(I)Pro986 is unclear but could involve lower rates of triple-helix secretion (76). Replacing Pro with 3S-Hyp in the Xaa position of CRPs can enhance triple-helix stability slightly (73, 77). A crystal structure of a triple helix containing 3S-Hyp substitutions reveals the maintenance of the prototypical triple-helix structure and the absence of unfavorable steric interactions (**Figure 4c**) (78). In contrast, replacing 3S-Hyp with (2S,3S)-3-fluoroproline destabilizes a triple helix markedly, possibly owing to a through-bond inductive effect that diminishes the ability of its main chain oxygen to accept a hydrogen bond (**Figure 4d**) (79).

An $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ Interaction

A general principle in the design of CRPs is that Pro residues with either a C^γ-*endo* or

C^γ-*exo* ring pucker will stabilize triple helices in the Xaa and Yaa positions, respectively (**Tables 2–4**). Appropriate ring pucker, enforced by a stereoelectronic or steric effect, preorganizes the ϕ and ψ torsion angles to those required for triple-helix formation.

Intriguingly, the stability of a (flpProGly)₇ or (clpProGly)₁₀ triple helix is significantly less than that of a (ProFlpGly)₇ or (ProClpGly)₁₀ triple helix, respectively (**Table 2**) (61, 68). Likewise, a (mepProGly)₇ triple helix is less stable than a (ProMepGly)₇ triple helix (**Table 2**) (65). Two factors contribute to the lower stability of triple helices formed from CRPs with stabilizing Pro derivatives substituted in the Xaa position rather than the Yaa position. First, a C^γ-*endo* ring pucker is already favored in Pro (56); flp, clp, and mep merely enhance that preference (**Table 3**). In contrast, Flp, Clp, Hyp, and Mep have the more dramatic effect of reversing the preferred ring pucker of Pro, thereby alleviating the entropic penalty for triple-helix formation to a greater extent (**Table 4**). Second, Flp, Clp, and Mep in the Yaa position cause favorable preorganization of all three main chain torsion angles (ϕ , ψ , and ω) (**Table 4**). In contrast, flp, clp, and mep have a low probability of adopting a *trans* peptide bond ($\omega = 180^\circ$) (54, 61, 65) relative to Pro (**Table 3**), thereby mitigating the benefit accrued from proper preorganization of ϕ and ψ . Notably, ¹³C-NMR studies on collagen in vitro show that 16% of Gly–Pro bonds in unfolded collagen are in the *cis* conformation, whereas only 8% of Xaa–Hyp bonds in unfolded collagen are *cis*, an observation that confirms the effect of C^γ-substitution on the conformation of the preceding peptide bond (80).

How does the effect of a 4-X substituent on Pro ring pucker influence the peptide bond isomerization equilibrium constant ($K_{trans/cis}$) (**Figure 5** and **Tables 3** and **4**)? The explanation stems from another stereoelectronic effect: an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction (56, 81). In an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction, the oxygen of a peptide bond (O_{i-1}) donates electron density from its lone pairs into the antibonding orbital of the carbonyl in the subsequent peptide bond ($C_i'=O_i$)

(**Figure 8c,d**). The C^Y -*exo* ring pucker of a Pro residue provides a more favorable $O_{i-1} \dots C_i'=O_i$ distance and angle for an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction than does the C^Y -*endo* pucker (56). Importantly, $K_{trans/cis}$ for peptidyl prolyl amide bonds is determined by the pyrrolidine ring pucker and is not generally affected by the identity of substituents in the 4-position of the pyrrolidine ring (82). Because an $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction can occur only if the peptide bond containing O_{i-1} is *trans*, the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction has an impact on the value of $K_{trans/cis}$ for main chains with appropriate torsion angles (**Table 4**). Thus, imposing a C^Y -*exo* pucker on a pyrrolidine ring in the Yaa position of a CRP preorganizes not only the ϕ and ψ angles for triple-helix formation, but also the ω angle. Indeed, a single $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction can stabilize the *trans* conformation by $\Delta G^\circ = -0.7$ kcal/mol (81, 83).

Hyp in the Xaa Position

In the Xaa position, a Pro residue with a C^Y -*endo* pucker generally stabilizes a triple helix, whereas one with a C^Y -*exo* pucker destabilizes a triple helix. For example, (HypProGly) $_n$ triple helices are far less stable than (ProProGly) $_n$ triple helices (**Table 2**) (84) because Hyp prefers the C^Y -*exo* ring pucker and thus preorganizes the ϕ and ψ torsion angles improperly for the Xaa position of a collagen triple helix (**Table 4**). Surprisingly, (HypHypGly) $_{10}$ triple helices are actually slightly more stable than (ProHypGly) $_{10}$ triple helices (**Table 2**) (85, 86) despite the Hyp residues in the Xaa position of (HypHypGly) $_{10}$ displaying the C^Y -*exo* ring pucker in the triple helix (87, 88). It is noteworthy that crystal structures of (HypHypGly) $_{10}$ show that the main chain torsion angles in the Xaa position of a (HypHypGly) $_n$ triple helix adjust to accommodate a C^Y -*exo* ring pucker in that position (87, 88).

The finding that Hyp can stabilize triple helices in the Xaa position in a context-dependent manner was presaged in a study by Gruskin and coworkers (89) on the global substitution of Hyp for Pro in recombinant type I collagen polypeptides that formed stable triple

helices. Notably, Hyp is found in the Xaa position of some invertebrate collagens (90) and can be acceptable in CRPs in which the Yaa position residue is not Pro (86, 91, 92). Berisio and coworkers (93) have suggested that (HypHypGly) $_{10}$ triple helices might be hyperstable owing to interstrand dipole-dipole interactions between proximal C^Y -OH bonds of adjacent Hyp residues. Kobayashi and coworkers (87) have proposed that the stability of (HypHypGly) $_{10}$ triple helices is attributable to the high hydration level of the peptide chains in the single-coil state prior to triple-helix formation, which could reduce the entropic cost of water bridge formation. A combination of these factors is likely to be responsible for this anomaly.

Heterotrimeric Synthetic Triple Helices

Both flp and Flp greatly enhance triple-helix stability when in the Xaa and Yaa position, respectively. Nonetheless, (flpFlpGly) $_n$ forms much less stable triple helices than does (ProProGly) $_n$ (**Table 2**) (79, 94). In such a helix, the fluorine atoms of flp and Flp residues in alternating strands would be proximal, and the C-F dipoles would interact unfavorably (**Figure 9a**) (79). These negative steric and electronic interactions presumably compromise triple-helix stability despite appropriate preorganization of main chain torsion angles. This hypothesis was confirmed by two other findings. First, a (clpClpGly) $_{10}$ triple helix does not even form at 4°C, whereas a (flpFlpGly) $_{10}$ triple helix has $T_m = 30^\circ\text{C}$ (**Table 2**) (61, 94). The steric clash between chlorine atoms of opposing clp and Clp residues is exacerbated by the large size of chlorine relative to fluorine (**Figure 9b**). Second, (mepMepGly) $_7$ forms more stable triple helices than do either of the corresponding mono-substituted CRPs, (mepProGly) $_7$ and (ProMepGly) $_7$ (**Table 2**). The 4-methyl groups protrude radially from the triple helix (**Figure 9c**) and thus cannot interact detrimentally with each other (65).




Figure 9

Heterotrimeric synthetic collagen triple helices. (*a–c*) Steric approach. Space-filling models of triple-helix segments constructed from the structure of a (ProHypGly)_{*n*} triple helix [PDB entry 1cag (19)] with the program SYBYL (Tripos, St. Louis, MO). In panel *a*, $r_{F...F} = 2.4 \text{ \AA}$ in a (flpFlpGly)_{*n*} triple helix (79). In panel *b*, $r_{Cl...Cl} = 1.9 \text{ \AA}$ (61) in a (clpClpGly)_{*n*} triple helix. In panel *c*, the methyl groups in a (mepMepGly)_{*n*} triple helix are radial and distal. (*d*) Coulombic approach. Favorable Coulombic interactions drive the preferential assembly of triple helices having a 1:1:1 ratio of (ProLysGly)₁₀:(AspHypGly)₁₀:(ProHypGly)₁₀ (96).

The steric and stereoelectronic effects on triple-helix stability manifested in the (flpFlpGly)₇ CRP provided, for the first time, a means to generate noncovalently linked, heterotrimeric triple helices with defined stoichiometry. Analysis of triple-helix cross sections suggested a triple helix composed of (flpFlpGly)₇:(ProProGly)₇ in either a 1:2 or 2:1 ratio could be stable, as the presence of some Pro residues in the Xaa and Yaa positions would eliminate deleterious steric interactions between fluorine residues in opposing strands. A (flpFlpGly)₇:(ProProGly)₇ ratio of 2:1 yielded the most stable triple helices, thereby demonstrating the first instance of

heterotrimeric assembly of triple helices with controlled stoichiometry (79) and suggesting the possibility of developing a “code” for triple-helix assembly along the lines of the Watson-Crick code for DNA assembly.

Gaub & Hartgerink (95) developed an alternative strategy that employs Coulombic interactions to guide the assembly of heterotrimeric triple helices. They observed that a 1:1:1 mixture of (ProArgGly)₁₀:(GluHypGly)₁₀:(ProHypGly)₁₀ produces triple helices containing one negatively charged, one positively charged, and one neutral CRP. Intriguingly, a (ProLysGly)₁₀:(AspHypGly)₁₀:(ProHypGly)₁₀ triple helix has

Self-assembly: a process in which specific, local interactions between disordered components lead to an organized structure, without external direction

Collagen fibrillogenesis: the process of tropocollagen monomers assembling into mature fibrils

a T_m value similar to that of a (ProHypGly)₁₀ homotrimer, even though Asp and Lys are known to destabilize significantly the triple helix relative to Pro and Hyp (**Figure 9d**). This finding demonstrates the utility of Coulombic interactions for stabilizing triple helices (96).

Synthetic collagen heterotrimers are appealing mimics of natural collagen strands, as most collagen types are themselves heterotrimers (**Table 1**). Gauba & Hartgerink (97) employed their Coulombic approach to generate mimics of type I collagen variants that lead to OI. Specifically, they studied the stability of triple-helical heterotrimers containing one, two, or three Gly→Ser substitutions. They observed that a Gly→Ser substitution in only one or two chains is not as debilitating for triple-helix stability and folding as is a Gly→Ser substitution in all three chains.

AVOIDING AGGREGATION

Long, unfolded polypeptides have an innate tendency to form aggregates (145), such as the amyloid fibrils implicated in neurodegenerative diseases. Interestingly, despite their long length and slow folding, procollagen strands are not known to aggregate. Amyloid fibrils and other aggregates are composed largely of β -sheets (146). Pro and Gly are the two amino acid residues with the lowest propensity to form a β -sheet (147, 148), and Gly residues are known explicitly to reduce protein aggregation rates (149).

We propose that the prevalence of Pro and Gly residues in procollagen is necessary to avert the formation of harmful aggregates. This proposal is supported by the remarkably high Pro/Gly content of other fibrous, structural proteins in plants and animals, such as elastin, extensin, glycine-rich proteins, and proline-rich proteins. Molecular dynamics simulations of elastin polypeptides likewise support this proposal, as a minimum threshold of Pro/Gly content must be attained to realize elastomers instead of amyloid fibrils (150). Apparently, the molecular evolution of collagen and other fibrous, structural proteins has availed Pro and Gly residues to avoid β -sheet formation and the consequent formation of harmful aggregates.

Nonproline Substitutions in the Xaa and Yaa Positions

Brodsky and coworkers (9) determined the frequency of occurrence of all possible tripeptides in a set of fibrillar and nonfibrillar collagen sequences. Only a few of the 400 possible triplets formed from the 20 natural amino acids are observed with any frequency in collagen. Additionally, they have examined exhaustively the incorporation of all 20 common amino acids in the Xaa and Yaa positions of CRPs using a host-guest model system wherein a single XaaYaaGly triplet is placed within a (ProProGly)_n or (ProHypGly)_n CRP (98). These host-guest studies revealed a correlation between the propensity of a particular residue to adopt a PPII conformation and its contribution to triple-helix stability (98). Notably, Arg in the Yaa position confers triple-helix stability similar to Hyp (99). The aromatic amino acid residues Trp, Phe, and Tyr are all strongly destabilizing to the triple helix (98), although the structural basis for this destabilization is unclear. Brodsky and coworkers (100) used their data on host-guest CRPs to develop an algorithm that enables a priori calculation of the effect of Xaa and Yaa substitutions on triple-helix stability.

HIGHER-ORDER COLLAGEN STRUCTURE

In vivo collagen has a hierarchical structure (**Figure 2**). Individual TC monomers self-assemble into the macromolecular fibers that are essential components of tissues and bones. The self-assembly processes involved in collagen fibrillogenesis are of enormous importance to ECM pathology and proper animal development (see the sidebar “Avoiding Aggregation” for a discussion of how collagen self-assembly might be directed away from deleterious protein aggregates).

Fibril Structure

There are many classes of collagenous structures in the ECM, including fibrils, networks,

and transmembrane collagenous domains. For brevity, we focus here on fibrils composed primarily of type I collagen.

TC monomers of type I collagen have the unique property of actually being unstable at body temperature (101); that is, the random coil conformation is the preferred one. How can stable tissue structures form from an unstable protein? The answer must be that collagen fibrillogenesis has a stabilizing effect on triple helices. Moreover, the assembly of strong macromolecular structures is essential to enable collagen to support stress in one, two, and three dimensions (102). The importance of collagen fibrillogenesis is underscored by the conclusion of Kadler and coworkers (103) that the fundamental principles underlying the formation of some types of modern collagen fibrils were established at least 500 Mya.

Collagen fibrillogenesis *in situ* occurs via assembly of intermediate-sized fibril segments, called microfibrils (**Figure 2**) (104). Thus, there are two important issues for understanding the molecular structure of the collagen fibril. First, what is the arrangement of individual TC monomers within the microfibril? Second, what is the arrangement of the individual microfibrils within the collagen fibril? These questions have proven difficult to answer, as individual natural microfibrils are not isolable and the large size and insolubility of mature collagen fibrils prevent the use of standard structure-determination techniques.

Collagen fibrils formed mainly from type I collagen (all fibrous tissues except cartilage) and fibrils formed largely from type II collagen (cartilage) have slightly different structures. Although we focus solely on type I collagen fibrils, recent data have enabled the determination of thin cartilage fibril structure to intermediate resolution (~ 4 nm). This structure suggests that cartilage collagen fibrils have a $10 + 4$ heterotypic microfibril structure—meaning that the fibril surface presents ten equally spaced microfibrils and that there are four equally spaced microfibrils in the core of the fibril (105).

Fibrils of type I collagen in tendon are up to 1 cm in length (106) and up to ~ 500 nm

in diameter. An individual triple helix in type I collagen is <2 nm in diameter and ~ 300 nm long. Clearly, fibrillogenesis on an extraordinary scale is necessary to achieve the structural dimensions of natural collagen fibrils. The most characteristic feature of collagen fibrils is that they are *D*-periodic with $D = 67$ nm. The banded structure observed in transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of collagen fibrils occurs because the actual length of a TC monomer is not an exact multiple of D but $L = 4.46D$, resulting in gaps of $0.54D$ and overlaps of $0.46D$ (**Figure 2**). This regular array of gap and overlap regions must be accounted for in structural models of the collagen fibril and microfibril.

The initial proposal for the three-dimensional structure of fibrillar collagen was a simplified structural model for collagen microfibrils advanced by Hodge & Petruska (107) in 1963. Their model consists of a two-dimensional stack in which five TC monomers within a microfibril are offset by $D = 67$ nm between neighboring strands (**Figure 2**). This model accounts for the gap and overlap regions apparent in mature collagen fibrils by TEM and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Many research groups began efforts to determine the three-dimensional structure of type I collagen fibrils at higher resolution. Numerous models were proposed to account for the features of fiber diffraction and of TEM and AFM images of such fibrils (108–111). Researchers generally agreed on a quasi-hexagonal unit cell containing five TC monomers as the basis for an accurate model of the collagen fibril, but important details were in dispute. Recent findings indicate that the fibril structure controversy is approaching resolution.

In 2001, Orgel and coworkers (112, 113) reported the first electron-density map of a type I collagen fiber at molecular anisotropic resolution (axial: 5.16 \AA ; lateral: 11.1 \AA) using synchrotron radiation. Their data confirm that collagen microfibrils have a quasi-hexagonal unit cell. The molecular packing of the TC monomers in this model results in TC neighbors arranged to form supertwisted,

***D*-Periodicity:** the axial stagger of adjacent tropocollagen molecules by a distance, D , which is the sum of gap and overlap regions

Collagen telopeptides: N- and C-terminal 11- to 26-residue nontriple-helical domains of tropocollagen strands involved in fibrillogenesis and cross-linking

Procollagen: the hydroxylated form of collagen prior to collagen propeptide cleavage

Collagen propeptides: N- and C-terminal nontriple-helical domains of collagen strands that direct triple-helix folding prior to fibrillogenesis

*Erratum

right-handed microfibrils that interdigitate with neighboring microfibrils—leading to a spiral-like structure for the mature collagen fibril (113). Their model advances the provocative idea that the collagen fibril is a networked, nanoscale rope—an idea also suggested by the AFM studies of Bozec and coworkers (111).

Orgel and coworkers determined the axial location of the N- and C-terminal collagen telopeptides and found that neighboring telopeptides within a TC monomer interact with each other and are cross-linked covalently subsequent to the action of lysyl oxidase (114). The cross-links can be both within and between microfibrils. Intriguingly, the super-twisted nature of the collagen microfibril is maintained through the nonhelical telopeptide regions (113).

This new model of the fibril of type I collagen explains the failure of previous researchers to isolate individual collagen microfibrils from tissue samples: The microfibrils interdigitate and cross-link, thus preventing separation from each other in an intact form. The new model also justifies the observation that TC in fibrils is far more resistant to collagen proteolysis by matrix metalloproteinase 1 (MMP1) than is monomeric TC; the collagen fibril protects regions vulnerable to proteolysis by MMP1. Proteolysis of the C-terminal telopeptide of TC in a fibril is required before MMP1 can gain access to the cleavage site of a TC monomer (115).

Nucleation and Modulation of Collagen Fibrillogenesis

Collagen fibrillogenesis requires completion of two stages of self-assembly: nucleation and fiber growth. Collagen fibrillogenesis begins only after procollagen N- and C-proteinases cleave the collagen propeptides at each triple-helix terminus to generate TC monomers. The C-terminal propeptides are essential for proper triple-helix formation but prevent fibrillogenesis (116). After cleavage of the propeptides, TC monomers are composed of a lengthy triple-helical domain consisting of a repeating

XaaYaaGly sequence flanked by short, nontriple-helical telopeptides (**Figure 2**).

The C-terminal telopeptides of TC are important for initiating proper fibrillogenesis. Prockop and Fertala (117) suggested that collagen self-assembly into fibrils is driven by the interaction of C-terminal telopeptides with specific binding sites on triple-helical monomers. The addition of synthetic telopeptide mimics can inhibit collagen fibrillogenesis, presumably by preventing the interaction between collagen telopeptides and TC monomers. Triple helices lacking the telopeptides can, however, assemble into fibrils with proper morphology (118). Thus, collagen telopeptides could accelerate fibril assembly and establish the proper register within microfibrils and fibrils but might not be essential for fibrillogenesis.

Collagen telopeptides have a second role in stabilizing mature collagen fibrils. Lys side chains in the telopeptides are cross-linked subsequent to fibril assembly, forming hydroxylysyl pyridinoline and lysyl pyridinoline cross-links between Lys and hydroxylysine residues with the aid of oxidase (**Figure 2**) (119). The cross-linking process endows mature collagen fibrils with strength and stability, but is not involved in fibrillogenesis. Thus, although collagen telopeptides might not be essential for nucleating collagen fibrillogenesis, their absence greatly weakens the mature fibril owing to the lack of cross-links within and between triple helices (119).

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF COLLAGEN FIBRILS

The hierarchical nature of collagen structure theoretically enables evaluation of the mechanical properties of collagen at varying levels of structural complexity, including the TC monomer, individual collagen fibrils, and collagen fibers. Perhaps the most direct measures of the mechanical properties of collagen have been obtained by studying TC monomers and fibrils formed from type I collagen. Researchers have employed various biophysical and theoretical techniques over the past 20 years, and

*This PDF amended on (21 Apr. 2010): See explanation at <http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/errata/biochem>

recent advances in AFM methodology have enabled more refined evaluations.

In 2006, Buehler estimated the fracture strength of a TC monomer to be 11 GPa, which is significantly greater than that of a collagen fibril (0.5 GPa) (102). This difference is reasonable, given that fracture of a TC monomer requires unraveling of the triple helix and ultimately breaking of covalent bonds, whereas fracture of a fibril does not necessarily require the disruption of covalent bonds. For comparison, the tensile strength of collagen in tendon is estimated to be 100 MPa (120).

The Young's modulus of a TC monomer is $E = 6\text{--}7$ GPa (102, 121), whereas AFM measurements show that dehydrated fibrils of type I collagen from bovine Achilles tendon (122) and rat tail tendon (123) have $E \approx 5$ GPa and $E \leq 11$ GPa, respectively. Because collagen fibrils are anisotropic, the shear modulus (which is a measure of rigidity) is also an important measure of the strength of a collagen fibril. In 2008, AFM revealed that dehydrated fibrils of type I collagen from bovine Achilles tendon have $G = 33$ MPa (124). Hydration of these fibrils reduced their shear modulus significantly, whereas carbodiimide-mediated cross-linking increased their shear modulus. It is noteworthy that a certain level of cross-linking is favorable for the mechanical properties of collagen fibrils, but excessive cross-linking results in extremely brittle collagen fibrils (102), a common symptom of aging.

An analysis by Buehler (102) of the mechanical properties of collagen fibrils suggests that nature has selected a length for the TC monomer that maximizes the robustness of the assembled collagen fibril via efficient energy dissipation. Simulations indicate that TC monomers either longer or shorter than ~ 300 nm (which is the length of a type I collagen triple helix) would form collagen fibrils with less favorable mechanical properties.

COLLAGENOUS BIOMATERIALS

Research on the structure and stability of collagen triple helices has focused on blunt-ended

triple helices composed of $(\text{XaaYaaGly})_{n \leq 10}$ CRPs. These short triple helices, although valuable for studies directed at understanding the physicochemical basis of triple-helix structure and stability, are not useful for many potential biomaterial applications because of their small size, which does not approach the scale of natural collagen fibers (**Figure 2**).

Bovine collagen is readily available and useful for some biomedical purposes, but it suffers from heterogeneity, potential immunogenicity, and loss of structural integrity during the isolation process. An efficient recombinant or synthetic source of collagen could avoid these complications. The heterologous production of collagen is made problematic by the difficulty of incorporating posttranslational modifications, such as that leading to the essential Hyp residues (**Figure 6**), and by the need to use complex expression systems (125). These challenges underscore the need for synthetic sources of collagen-like proteins and fibrils.

Collagen via Chemical Synthesis

Early approaches to long synthetic collagen triple helices relied on the condensation (126, 127) or native chemical ligation of short CRPs (127). Interestingly, concentrated aqueous solutions of $(\text{ProHypGly})_{10}$ self-assemble into highly branched fibrils (128). Brodsky and coworkers (129) have shown that the rate of $(\text{ProHypGly})_{10}$ self-assembly and the morphology of the resultant fibrils are sequence dependent. CRPs containing a single $\text{Pro} \rightarrow \text{Ala}$ or $\text{Pro} \rightarrow \text{Leu}$ substitution display slower self-assembly; fibril morphology can be modified by a $\text{Gly} \rightarrow \text{Ser}$ substitution, or prevented by a single $\text{Gly} \rightarrow \text{Ala}$ substitution or global $\text{Hyp} \rightarrow \text{Pro}$ substitutions. Regardless, the higher-order structures formed by the self-assembly of $(\text{ProHypGly})_{10}$ and related CRPs do not resemble natural collagen fibrils.

Long collagen triple helices have been prepared by using a design that takes advantage of the intrinsic propensity of individual CRP strands to form triple helices. Specifically, a

cystine knot within short collagen fragments was utilized to set the register of individual collagen strands such that short, “sticky” ends preorganized for further triple-helix formation were displayed at the end of each triple-helical, monomeric segment (**Figure 10a**) (130, 131). Self-assembly of these short, triple-helix fragments was then mediated by association of the sticky ends, resulting in collagen assemblies as long as 400 nm—significantly longer than natural TC monomers (131). Koide and coworkers (132) used this system to prepare tunable collagen-like gels with potential biomaterial applications.

Maryanoff and coworkers (133) developed another approach to long triple helices, one that relied on the predilection of electron-rich phenyl rings of C-terminal phenylalanine residues installed in a short CRP to engage in π -stacking interactions preferentially with electron-poor pentafluorophenyl rings of N-terminal pentafluorophenylalanine residues (**Figure 10b**). Their strategy produced micrometer-scale triple-helical fibers. This π -stacking approach has been used to generate thrombogenic collagen-like fibrils for applications in biomedicine (134). In addition, attachment of gold nanoparticles to these fibrils and subsequent electroless silver plating yielded collagen-based nanowires that conduct electricity (135).

Przybyla & Chmielewski (136) used metal-triggered self-assembly to obtain collagen fibrils from a CRP. A single Hyp residue in Ac-(ProHypGly)₉-NH₂ was replaced with a bipyridyl-modified Lys residue. Addition of Fe(II) to a solution of this CRP triggered self-assembly into morphologically diverse fibrils of up to 5 μ m in length with a mean radius of 0.5 μ m.

A major advance in the development of synthetic CRP assemblies with improved similarity to collagen fibrils was reported by Chaikof and coworkers (137). They synthesized a CRP with the sequence (ProArgGly)₄-(ProHypGly)₄-(GluHypGly)₄ and observed self-assembly in solution into fibrils 3–4 μ m in length and 12–15 nm in diameter. Upon heating the peptide

solution to 75°C for 40 min and then cooling to room temperature, they observed thicker fibrils (~70 nm in diameter). Importantly, these fibrils exhibited two key characteristics of natural collagen fibrils. First, the fibrils displayed tapered tips at their termini—a feature observed in type I collagen fibers and thought to be important for fiber growth (138). Second, Chaikof and coworkers observed *D*-periodic structure in synthetic collagen fibrils, with *D* \approx 18 nm. The self-assembly process presumably relies on Coulombic interactions and hydrogen bonds between charged Arg and Glu residues in individual, axially staggered triple helices (**Figure 10c**).

The methodologies described above enable the creation of long, triple-helical, collagen-like fibrils. Despite major advances, synthetic collagen-mimetic fibrils still lack many of the characteristics of higher-order collagen structures. In addition, the mechanical properties of synthetic collagenous materials have not been studied to date. Synthetic collagens that closely mimic the length, girth, patterns, mechanical properties, and complexity of natural collagen fibrils remain to be developed, but rapid progress in the past few years engenders great optimism.

Biological and Biomedical Applications of Synthetic Collagen

Relatively few CRPs have been tested as biomaterials. Goodman and coworkers (139) showed that peptoid-containing CRPs have a notable ability to bind to epithelial cells and fibroblasts, particularly when displayed on a surface. CRPs are also useful for inducing platelet aggregation, which can aid the wound-healing process (140, 141).

A key step toward utilizing collagenous biomaterials for therapeutic purposes is the development of CRPs that can either adhere to or bury themselves within biological collagen. Most efforts toward these objectives have relied on immobilization of CRPs on an unrelated substance. Yu and coworkers (142) prepared CRP-functionalized gold nanoparticles

and demonstrated binding of the gold nanoparticles to the gap region of natural collagen. Maryanoff and coworkers found that CRPs displayed on latex nanoparticles can stimulate human platelet aggregation with a potency similar to that of type I collagen (140). In an important extension of this work, they demonstrated that triple-helical fibrils obtained via aromatic interactions had a similar level of

thrombogenic activity to the CRPs immobilized on latex nanoparticles (134). Finally, single strands of CRPs and polyethylene glycol-conjugated CRPs bind to collagen films even without immobilization on nanoparticles (143) and are of potential use in collagen imaging (144) and wound-healing applications. The future of these approaches appears to be especially bright.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. High-resolution crystal structures and modern biophysical approaches have enabled detailed study of the structure and stability of collagen triple helices. The ladder of hydrogen bonds observed in these crystal structures is essential for holding the triple helix together, and its absence in natural collagen leads to a variety of pathological conditions.
2. Stereoelectronic effects impart significant structural stability to collagen by preorganizing individual polypeptides for triple-helix formation. For example, Hyp in the Yaa position stabilizes the triple helix via a stereoelectronic effect. Stereoelectronic effects are also important for the structure and stability of numerous other peptides and proteins.
3. Posttranslational modifications to procollagen are of fundamental importance to the synthesis of a stable ECM. These modifications include hydroxylation and cross-linking reactions.
4. Collagen fibrillogenesis is an essential process for the formation of macromolecular biological scaffolds. Relatively high-resolution models of type I and type II collagen fibrils are now available and, for type I collagen, show that collagen fibrils can be described as nanoscale ropes.
5. Simple means to synthesize long collagen triple helices and fibrils have become apparent. The resultant materials are poised for use in biomedicine and nanotechnology.

FUTURE ISSUES

1. The factors that affect triple-helix stability for Pro derivatives in the Yaa position are now clear. In comparison, the Xaa position is understood only poorly. What, for example, is the physicochemical basis for the anomalous effects of hyp and Hyp on triple-helix stability in the Xaa position?
2. The current understanding of triple-helix structure and stability derives from analyses of triple-helical CRPs. Do these analyses provide insight on the stability and mechanical properties of natural collagen fibrils?
3. What functionalities in natural collagen are important for proper fibril formation in the ECM? How might diseases stemming from improper fibril formation be subject to therapeutic intervention?

4. Can improved methods be developed to synthesize long collagen triple helices and relevant mimics of complex, hierarchical collagen assemblies?
5. What are the molecular structures of nonfibrillar collagen assemblies? How are those assemblies formed in vivo?
6. Natural collagens appear to engage many other proteins and biomolecules. Which ones? How? Can those interactions be manipulated to treat disease?
7. How can synthetic collagen-based biomaterials lead to expeditious therapies?

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Dr. Jeet Kalia for critical reading of the manuscript and Amit Choudhary for creating **Figure 8d**. M.D.S. was supported by graduate fellowships from the Department of Homeland Security and the Division of Medicinal Chemistry, American Chemical Society. Collagen research in our laboratory is supported by Grant AR044276 (NIH).

LITERATURE CITED

1. Brinckmann J. 2005. Collagens at a glance. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 247:1–6
2. Veit G, Kobbe B, Keene DR, Paulsson M, Koch M, Wagener R. 2006. Collagen XXVIII, a novel von Willebrand factor A domain-containing protein with many imperfections in the collagenous domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:3494–504
3. Schweitzer MH, Suo Z, Avci R, Asara JM, Allen MA, et al. 2007. Analyses of soft tissue from *Tyrannosaurus rex* suggest the presence of protein. *Science* 316:277–80
4. Asara JM, Schweitzer MH, Freemark LM, Phillips M, Cantley LC. 2007. Protein sequences from mastodon and *Tyrannosaurus rex* revealed by mass spectrometry. *Science* 316:280–85
5. Buckley M, Walker A, Ho SYW, Yang Y, Smith C, et al. 2008. Comment on “Protein sequences from mastodon and *Tyrannosaurus rex* revealed by mass spectrometry.” *Science* 319:33
6. Pevzner PA, Kim S, Ng J. 2008. Comment on “Protein sequences from mastodon and *Tyrannosaurus rex* revealed by mass spectrometry.” *Science* 321:1040
7. Berisio R, Vitagliano L, Mazzarella L, Zagari A. 2002. Crystal structure of the collagen triple helix model [(Pro-Pro-Gly)₁₀]₃. *Protein Sci.* 11:262–70
8. Brazel D, Oberbäumer I, Dieringer H, Babel W, Glanville RW, et al. 1987. Completion of the amino acid sequence of the $\alpha 1$ chain of human basement membrane collagen (type IV) reveals 21 nontriplet interruptions located within the collagenous domain. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 168:529–36
9. Ramshaw JAM, Shah NK, Brodsky B. 1998. Gly-X-Y tripeptide frequencies in collagen: a context for host-guest triple-helical peptides. *J. Struct. Biol.* 122:86–91
10. Fitzgerald J, Rich C, Zhou FH, Hansen U. 2008. Three novel collagen VI chains, $\alpha 4(VI)$, $\alpha 5(VI)$, and $\alpha 6(VI)$. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:20170–80
11. Astbury WT, Bell FO. 1940. The molecular structure of the fibers of the collagen group. *Nature* 145:421–22
12. Pauling L, Corey RB. 1951. The structure of fibrous proteins of the collagen-gelatin group. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 37:272–81

19. First high-resolution (1.9-Å) crystal structure of a collagen triple helix, formed from CRPs.

29. Thorough analysis of the cell interaction and matrix interaction domains of the collagen fibrils.

13. Ramachandran GN, Kartha G. 1954. Structure of collagen. *Nature* 174:269–70
14. Ramachandran GN, Kartha G. 1955. Structure of collagen. *Nature* 176:593–95
15. Rich A, Crick FHC. 1955. The structure of collagen. *Nature* 176:915–16
16. Rich A, Crick FHC. 1961. The molecular structure of collagen. *J. Mol. Biol.* 3:483–506
17. Cowan PM, McGavin S, North ACT. 1955. The polypeptide chain configuration of collagen. *Nature* 176:1062–64
18. Fields GB, Prockop DJ. 1996. Perspectives on the synthesis and application of triple-helical, collagen-model peptides. *Biopolymers* 40:345–57
19. **Bella J, Eaton M, Brodsky B, Berman HM. 1994. Crystal and molecular structure of a collagen-like peptide at 1.9 Å resolution. *Science* 266:75–81**
20. Bella J, Berman HM. 1996. Crystallographic evidence for C α –H–O=C hydrogen bonds in a collagen triple helix. *J. Mol. Biol.* 264:734–42
21. Kramer RZ, Venugopal MG, Bella J, Mayville P, Brodsky B, Berman HM. 2000. Staggered molecular packing in crystals of a collagen-like peptide with a single charged pair. *J. Mol. Biol.* 301:1191–205
22. Emsley J, Knight CG, Farndale RW, Barnes MJ, Liddington RC. 2000. Structural basis of collagen recognition by integrin $\alpha_2\beta_1$. *Cell* 101:47–56
23. Cohen C, Bear RS. 1953. Helical polypeptide chain configuration in collagen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 75:2783–84
24. Okuyama K, Xu X, Iguchi M, Noguchi K. 2006. Revision of collagen molecular structure. *Biopolymers* 84:181–91
25. Kramer RZ, Bella J, Mayville P, Brodsky B, Berman HM. 1999. Sequence dependent conformational variations of collagen triple-helical structure. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 6:454–57
26. Boudko S, Engel J, Okuyama K, Mizuno K, Bächinger HP, Schumacher MA. 2008. Crystal structure of human type III collagen G991-G1032 cystine knot-containing peptide shows both 7/2 and 10/3 triple helical symmetries. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:32580–89
27. Sweeney SM, Guy CA, Fields GB, San Antonio JD. 1998. Defining the domains of type I collagen involved in heparin-binding and endothelial tube formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95:7275–80
28. Di Lullo GA, Sweeney SM, Körkkö J, Ala-Kokko L, San Antonio JD. 2002. Mapping the ligand-binding sites and disease-associated mutations on the most abundant protein in the human, type I collagen. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:4223–31
29. **Sweeney SM, Orgel JP, Fertala A, McAuliffe JD, Turner KR, et al. 2008. Candidate cell and matrix interaction domains on the collagen fibril, the predominant protein of vertebrates. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:21187–97**
30. Jenkins CL, Vasbinder MM, Miller SJ, Raines RT. 2005. Peptide bond isosteres: ester or (*E*)-alkene in the backbone of the collagen triple helix. *Org. Lett.* 7:2619–22
31. Boryskina OP, Bolbukh TV, Semenov MA, Gasan AI, Maleev VY. 2007. Energies of peptide-peptide and peptide-water hydrogen bonds in collagen: evidences from infrared spectroscopy, quartz piezogravimetry, and differential scanning calorimetry. *J. Mol. Struct.* 827:1–10
32. Myllyharju J, Kivirikko KI. 2001. Collagens and collagen-related diseases. *Ann. Med.* 33:7–21
33. Beck K, Chan VC, Shenoy N, Kirkpatrick A, Ramshaw JAM, Brodsky B. 2000. Destabilization of osteogenesis imperfecta collagen-like model peptides correlates with the identity of the residue replacing glycine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97:4273–78
34. Tsai MI-H, Xu Y, Dannenberg JJ. 2005. Completely geometrically optimized DFT/ONIOM triple-helical collagen-like structures containing the ProProGly, ProProAla, ProPro^DAla, and ProPro^DSer triads. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127:14130–31
35. Horng J-C, Kotch FW, Raines RT. 2007. Is glycine a surrogate for a D-amino acid in the collagen triple helix? *Protein Sci.* 16:208–15
36. Bodian DL, Madhan B, Brodsky B, Klein TE. 2008. Predicting the clinical lethality of osteogenesis imperfecta from collagen glycine mutations. *Biochemistry* 47:5424–32
37. Hyde TJ, Bryan MA, Brodsky B, Baum J. 2006. Sequence dependence of renucleation after a Gly mutation in model collagen peptides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:36937–43

38. Khoshnoodi J, Cartiailler J-P, Alvares K, Veis A, Hudson BG. 2006. Molecular recognition in the assembly of collagens: Terminal noncollagenous domains are key recognition modules in the formation of triple-helical protomers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:38117–21
39. Raghunath M, Bruckner P, Steinmann B. 1994. Delayed triple helix formation of mutant collagen from patients with osteogenesis imperfecta. *J. Mol. Biol.* 236:940–49
40. Cram DJ. 1988. The design of molecular hosts, guests, and their complexes. *Science* 240:760–67
41. Kersteen EA, Raines RT. 2001. Contribution of tertiary amides to the conformational stability of collagen triple helices. *Biopolymers* 59:24–28
42. Nan D, Wang XJ, Etzkorn FA. 2008. The effect of a *trans*-locked Gly–Pro alkene isostere on collagen triple helix stability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130:5396–97
43. Friedman L, Higgin JJ, Moulder G, Barstead R, Raines RT, Kimble J. 2000. Prolyl 4-hydroxylase is required for viability and morphogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97:4736–41
44. Holster T, Pakkanen O, Soininen R, Sormunen R, Nokelainen M, et al. 2007. Loss of assembly of the main basement membrane collagen, type IV, but not fibril-forming collagens and embryonic death in collagen prolyl 4-hydroxylase I null mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:2512–19
45. Berg RA, Prockop DJ. 1973. The thermal transition of a nonhydroxylated form of collagen. Evidence for a role for hydroxyproline in stabilizing the triple helix of collagen. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 52:115–20
46. Sakakibara S, Inouye K, Shudo K, Kishida Y, Kobayashi Y, Prockop DJ. 1973. Synthesis of (Pro–Hyp–Gly)_n of defined molecular weights. Evidence for the stabilization of collagen triple helix by hydroxyproline. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 303:198–202
47. Inouye K, Sakakibara S, Prockop DJ. 1976. Effects of the stereo-configuration of the hydroxyl group in 4-hydroxyproline on the triple-helical structures formed by homogenous peptides resembling collagen. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 420:133–41
48. Jiravanichanun N, Nishino N, Okuyama K. 2006. Conformation of alloHyp in the Y position in the host-guest peptide with the Pro–Pro–Gly sequence: implication of the destabilization of (Pro–alloHyp–Gly)₁₀. *Biopolymers* 81:225–33
49. Suzuki E, Fraser RDB, MacRae TP. 1980. Role of hydroxyproline in the stabilization of the collagen molecule via water molecules. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2:54–56
50. Bella J, Brodsky B, Berman HM. 1995. Hydration structure of a collagen peptide. *Structure* 3:893–906
51. Dunitz JD, Taylor R. 1997. Organic fluorine hardly ever accepts hydrogen bonds. *Chem. Eur. J.* 3:89–98
52. Holmgren SK, Taylor KM, Bretscher LE, Raines RT. 1998. Code for collagen's stability deciphered. *Nature* 392:666–67
53. Holmgren SK, Bretscher LE, Taylor KM, Raines RT. 1999. A hyperstable collagen mimic. *Chem. Biol.* 6:63–70
54. Bretscher LE, Jenkins CL, Taylor KM, DeRider ML, Raines RT. 2001. Conformational stability of collagen relies on a stereoelectronic effect. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 123:777–78
55. Gilli G. 2002. Molecules and molecular crystals. In *Fundamentals of Crystallography*, ed. C Giacovazzo, pp. 618–25. Oxford, UK: Oxford Univ. Press
56. DeRider ML, Wilkens SJ, Waddell MJ, Bretscher LE, Weinhold F, et al. 2002. Collagen stability: insights from NMR spectroscopic and hybrid density functional computational investigations of the effect of electronegative substituents on prolyl ring conformations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 124:2497–505
57. Panasik N Jr, Eberhardt ES, Edison AS, Powell DR, Raines RT. 1994. Inductive effects on the structure of proline residues. *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* 44:262–69
58. Improta R, Benzi C, Barone V. 2001. Understanding the role of stereoelectronic effects in determining collagen stability. 1. A quantum mechanical study of proline, hydroxyproline, and fluoroproline dipeptide analogues in aqueous solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 123:12568–77
59. Kotch FW, Guzei IA, Raines RT. 2008. Stabilization of the collagen triple helix by *O*-methylation of hydroxyproline residues. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130:2952–53
60. Lee S-G, Lee JY, Chmielewski J. 2008. Investigation of pH-dependent collagen triple-helix formation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 47:8429–32

43. Demonstration that 4R-hydroxylation of Pro residues in the Yaa position of collagen strands is required for animal life.

52. Overturned the long-standing hypothesis that water bridges are important for the structure and stability of the collagen triple helix.

61. Shoulders MD, Guzei IA, Raines RT. 2008. 4-Chloroproline: synthesis, conformational analysis, and effect on the collagen triple helix. *Biopolymers* 89:443–54
62. Persikov AV, Ramshaw JAM, Kirkpatrick A, Brodsky B. 2003. Triple-helix propensity of hydroxyproline and fluoroproline: comparison of host-guest and repeating tripeptide models. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125:11500–1
63. Malkar NB, Lauer-Fields JL, Borgia JA, Fields GB. 2002. Modulation of triple-helical stability and subsequent melanoma cellular responses by single-site substitution of fluoroproline derivatives. *Biochemistry* 41:6054–64
64. Nishi Y, Uchiyama S, Doi M, Nishiuchi Y, Nakazawa T, et al. 2005. Different effects of 4-hydroxyproline and 4-fluoroproline on the stability of the collagen triple helix. *Biochemistry* 44:6034–42
65. Shoulders MD, Hodges JA, Raines RT. 2006. Reciprocity of steric and stereoelectronic effects in the collagen triple helix. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128:8112–13
66. Cadamuro SA, Reichold R, Kusebauch U, Musiol H-J, Renner C, et al. 2008. Conformational properties of 4-mercaptoproline and related derivatives. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 47:2143–46
67. Vitagliano L, Berisio R, Mazzarella L, Zagari A. 2001. Structural bases of collagen stabilization induced by proline hydroxylation. *Biopolymers* 58:459–64
68. Hodges JA, Raines RT. 2003. Stereoelectronic effects on collagen stability: the dichotomy of 4-fluoroproline diastereomers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125:9262–63
69. Doi M, Nishi Y, Uchiyama S, Nishiuchi Y, Nakazawa T, et al. 2003. Characterization of collagen model peptides containing 4-fluoroproline; (4S)-fluoroproline–Pro–Gly)₁₀ forms a triple helix, but (4R)-fluoroproline–Pro–Gly)₁₀ does not. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125:9922–23
70. Barth D, Milbradt AG, Renner C, Moroder L. 2004. A (4R)- or a (4S)-fluoroproline residue in position Xaa of the (Xaa–Yaa–Gly) collagen repeat severely affects triple-helix formation. *ChemBioChem* 5:79–86
71. Lesarri A, Cocinero EJ, López JC, Alonso JL. 2005. Shape of 4S- and 4R-hydroxyproline in gas phase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127:2572–79
72. Kefalides NA. 1973. Structure and biosynthesis of basement membranes. *Int. Rev. Connect. Tissue Res.* 6:63–104
73. Jenkins CL, Bretscher LE, Guzei IA, Raines RT. 2003. Effect of 3-hydroxyproline residues on collagen stability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125:6422–27
74. Tryggevason K, Risteli J, Kivirikko K. 1976. Separation of prolyl 3-hydroxylase and 4-hydroxylase activities and the 4-hydroxyproline requirement for synthesis of 3-hydroxyproline. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 76:275–81
75. Morello R, Bertin TK, Chen Y, Hicks J, Tonachini L, et al. 2006. CRTAP is required for prolyl 3-hydroxylation and mutations cause recessive osteogenesis imperfecta. *Cell* 127:291–304
76. Cabral WA, Chang W, Barnes AM, Weis M, Scott MA, et al. 2007. Prolyl 3-hydroxylase 1 deficiency causes a recessive metabolic bone disorder resembling lethal/severe osteogenesis imperfecta. *Nat. Genet.* 39:359–65
77. Mizuno K, Peyton DH, Hayashi T, Engel J, Bächinger HP. 2008. Effect of the –Gly-3(S)-hydroxyprolyl-4(R)-hydroxyprolyl-tripeptide unit on the stability of collagen model peptides. *FEBS J.* 275:5830–40
78. Schumacher MA, Mizuno K, Bächinger HP. 2006. The crystal structure of a collagen-like polypeptide with 3(S)-hydroxyproline residues in the Xaa position forms a standard 7/2 collagen triple helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:27566–74
79. Hodges JA, Raines RT. 2005. Stereoelectronic and steric effects in the collagen triple helix: toward a code for strand association. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127:15923–32
80. Sarkar SK, Young PE, Sullivan CE, Torchia DA. 1984. Detection of *cis* and *trans* X-Pro bonds in proteins by ¹³C NMR: application to collagen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81:4800–3
81. Hinderaker MP, Raines RT. 2003. An electronic effect on protein structure. *Protein Sci.* 12:1188–94
82. Jenkins CL, Lin G, Duo J, Rapolu D, Guzei IA, et al. 2004. Substituted 2-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexanes as constrained proline analogues: implications for collagen stability. *J. Org. Chem.* 69:8565–73
83. Hodges JA, Raines RT. 2006. Energetics of an *n*→*π** interaction that impacts protein structure. *Org. Lett.* 8:4695–97

84. Inouye K, Kobayashi Y, Kyogoku Y, Kishida Y, Sakakibara S, Prockop DJ. 1982. Synthesis and physical properties of (hydroxyproline-proline-glycine)₁₀. Hydroxyproline in the X-position decreases the melting temperature of the collagen triple helix. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 219:198–203
85. Berisio R, Granata V, Vitagliano L, Zagari A. 2004. Imino acids and collagen triple helix stability: Characterization of collagen-like polypeptides containing Hyp-Hyp-Gly sequence repeats. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126:11402–3
86. Mizuno K, Hayashi T, Peyton DH, Bächinger HP. 2004. Hydroxylation-induced stabilization of the collagen triple helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279:38072–78
87. Kawahara K, Nishi Y, Nakamura S, Uchiyama S, Nishiuchi Y, et al. 2005. Effect of hydration on the stability of the collagen-like triple-helical structure of [4(R)-hydroxyprolyl-4(R)-hydroxyprolylglycine]₁₀. *Biochemistry* 44:15812–22
88. Schumacher M, Mizuno K, Bächinger HP. 2005. The crystal structure of the collagen-like polypeptide (glycyl-4(R)-hydroxyprolyl-4(R)-hydroxyprolyl)₉ at 1.55 angstrom resolution shows up-puckering of the proline ring in the Xaa position. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:20397–403
89. Buechert DD, Paoletta DN, Leslie BS, Brown MS, Mehos KA, Gruskin EA. 2003. Co-translational incorporation of *trans*-4-hydroxyproline into recombinant proteins in bacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:645–50
90. Mann K, Mechling DE, Bächinger HP, Eckerskorn C, Gaill F, Timpl R. 1996. Glycosylated threonine but not 4-hydroxyproline dominates the triple helix stabilizing positions in the sequence of a hydrothermal vent worm cuticle collagen. *J. Mol. Biol.* 261:255–66
91. Bann JG, Bächinger HP. 2000. Glycosylation/hydroxylation-induced stabilization of the collagen triple helix: 4-*trans*-hydroxyproline in the Xaa position can stabilize the triple helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:24466–69
92. Mizuno K, Hayashi T, Bächinger HP. 2003. Hydroxylation-induced stabilization of the collagen triple helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:32373–79
93. Imprata R, Berisio R, Vitagliano L. 2008. Contribution of dipole-dipole interactions to the stability of the collagen triple helix. *Protein Sci.* 2008:955–61
94. Doi M, Nishi Y, Uchiyama S, Nishiuchi Y, Nishio H, et al. 2005. Collagen-like triple helix formation of synthetic (Pro-Pro-Gly)₁₀ analogues: (4(S)-hydroxyprolyl-4(R)-hydroxyprolyl-Gly)₁₀ and (4(S)-fluoroprolyl-4(R)-fluoroprolyl-Gly)₁₀. *J. Pept. Sci.* 11:609–16
95. Gauba V, Hartgerink JD. 2007. Self-assembled heterotrimeric collagen triple helices directed through electrostatic interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129:2683–90
96. Gauba V, Hartgerink JD. 2007. Surprisingly high stability of collagen ABC heterotrimer: evaluation of side chain charge pairs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129:15034–41
97. Gauba V, Hartgerink JD. 2008. Synthetic collagen heterotrimers: structural mimics of wild-type and mutant collagen type I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130:7509–15
98. Persikov AV, Ramshaw JAM, Kirkpatrick A, Brodsky B. 2000. Amino acid propensities for the collagen triple helix. *Biochemistry* 39:14960–67
99. Yang W, Chan VC, Kirkpatrick A, Ramshaw JAM, Brodsky B. 1997. Gly-Pro-Arg confers stability similar to Gly-Pro-Hyp in the collagen triple-helix of host-guest peptides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:28837–40
100. Persikov AV, Ramshaw JAM, Brodsky B. 2005. Prediction of collagen stability from amino acid sequence. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:19343–49
101. Leikina E, Merits MV, Kuznetsova N, Leikin S. 2002. Type I collagen is thermally unstable at body temperature. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:1314–18
102. Buehler MJ. 2006. Nature designs tough collagen: explaining the nanostructure of collagen fibrils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:12285–90
103. Kadler KE, Holmes DF, Trotter JA, Chapman JA. 1996. Collagen fibril formation. *Biochem. J.* 316:1–11
104. Birk DE, Zychband EI, Winkelmann DA, Trelstad RL. 1989. Collagen fibrillogenesis in situ: Fibril segments are intermediates in matrix assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:4549–53
105. Holmes DF, Kadler KE. 2006. The 10+4 microfibril structure of thin cartilage fibrils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:17249–54
106. Craig AS, Birtles MJ, Conway JF, Parry DA. 1989. An estimate of the mean length of collagen fibrils in rat tail tendon as a function of age. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 19:51–62
95. Formation of a 1:1:1 heterotrimeric triple-helix from a positively charged, a negatively charged, and a neutral CRP.
102. Analysis of the molecular evolution of collagen fibrils for the purpose of achieving maximal strength and flexibility.
105. Highest-resolution structure (~4 nm) of thin cartilage fibrils determined to date.

113. Structure of a type I collagen microfibril at molecular anisotropic resolution (5.16-Å axial; 11.1-Å equatorial).

131. Synthesis of lengthy collagen triple helices (up to 400 nm) by molecular self-assembly.

107. Hodge AJ, Petruska JA. 1963. Recent studies with the electron microscope on ordered aggregates of the tropocollagen macromolecule. In *Aspects of Protein Structure*, ed. GN Ramachandran, pp. 289–300. London: Academic
108. Hulmes DJS, Miller A. 1979. Quasi-hexagonal molecular packing in collagen fibrils. *Nature* 282:878–80
109. Trus BL, Piez KA. 1980. Compressed microfibril models of the native collagen fibril. *Nature* 286:300–1
110. Hulmes DJS, Jesior J-C, Miller A, Berthet-Colominas C, Wolff C. 1981. Electron microscopy shows periodic structure in collagen fibril cross sections. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 78:3567–71
111. Bozec L, van der Heijden G, Horton M. 2007. Collagen fibrils: nanoscale ropes. *Biophys. J.* 92:70–75
112. Orgel JPRO, Miller A, Irving TC, Fischetti RF, Hammersley AP, Wess TJ. 2001. The in situ super-molecular structure of type I collagen. *Structure* 9:1061–69
- 113. Orgel JPRO, Irving TC, Miller A, Wess TJ. 2006. Microfibrillar structure of type I collagen in situ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:9001–5**
114. Orgel JP, Wess TJ, Miller A. 2000. The in situ conformation and axial location of the intermolecular cross-linked nonhelical telopeptides of type I collagen. *Structure* 8:137–42
115. Perumal S, Olga A, Orgel JPRO. 2008. Collagen fibril architecture, domain organization, and triple-helical conformation govern its proteolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105:2824–29
116. Kadler KE, Hojima Y, Prockop DJ. 1987. Assembly of collagen fibrils de novo by cleavage of the type I pC-collagen with procollagen C-proteinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262:15696–701
117. Prockop DJ, Fertala A. 1998. Inhibition of the self-assembly of collagen I into fibrils with synthetic peptides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273:15598–604
118. Kuznetsova N, Leikin S. 1999. Does the triple helical domain of type I collagen encode molecular recognition and fiber assembly while telopeptides serve as catalytic domains? *J. Biol. Chem.* 274:36083–88
119. Eyre DR, Paz MA, Gallop PM. 1984. Cross-linking in collagen and elastin. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 53:717–48
120. Howard J. 2001. *Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer
121. in't Veld PJ, Stevens MJ. 2008. Simulation of the mechanical strength of a single collagen molecule. *Biophys. J.* 95:33–39
122. van der Rijt JAJ, van der Werf KO, Bennink ML, Dijkstra PJ, Feijen J. 2006. Micromechanical testing of individual collagen fibrils. *Macromol. Biosci.* 6:697–702
123. Wenger MPE, Bozec L, Horton M, Mesquida P. 2007. Mechanical properties of collagen fibrils. *Biophys. J.* 93:1255–63
124. Yang L, van der Werf KO, Fitie CFC, Bennink ML, Dijkstra PJ, Feijen J. 2008. Mechanical properties of native and cross-linked type I collagen fibrils. *Biophys. J.* 94:2204–11
125. Olsen D, Yang C, Bodo M, Chang R, Leigh S, et al. 2003. Recombinant collagen and gelatin for drug delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 55:1547–67
126. Kishimoto T, Morihara Y, Osanai M, Ogata S, Kamitakahara M, et al. 2005. Synthesis of poly(Pro-Hyp-Gly)_n by direct polycondensation of (Pro-Hyp-Gly)_n, where *n* = 1, 5, and 10, and stability of the triple helical structure. *Biopolymers* 79:163–72
127. Paramonov SE, Gauba V, Hartgerink JD. 2005. Synthesis of collagen-like peptide polymers by native chemical ligation. *Macromolecules* 38:7555–61
128. Kar K, Amin P, Bryan MA, Persikov AV, Mohs A, et al. 2006. Self-association of collagen triple-helix peptides into higher order structures. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281:33283–90
129. Kar K, Wang Y-H, Brodsky B. 2008. Sequence dependence of kinetics and morphology of collagen model peptide self-assembly into higher order structures. *Protein Sci.* 17:1086–95
130. Koide T, Homma DL, Asada S, Kitagawa K. 2005. Self-complementary peptides for the formation of collagen-like triple helical supramolecules. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 15:5230–33
- 131. Kotch FW, Raines RT. 2006. Self-assembly of synthetic collagen triple helices. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:3028–33**
132. Yamazaki CM, Asada S, Kitagawa K, Koide T. 2008. Artificial collagen gels via self-assembly of de novo designed peptides. *Biopolymers* 90:816–23

133. Cejas M, Kinney WA, Chen C, Leo GC, Tounge BA, et al. 2007. Collagen-related peptides: self-assembly of short, single strands into a functional biomaterial of micrometer scale. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129:2202–3
134. Cejas MA, Kinney WA, Chen C, Vinter JG, Almond HRJ, et al. 2008. Thrombogenic collagen-mimetic peptides: self-assembly of triple helix-based fibrils driven by hydrophobic interactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105:8513–18
135. Gottlieb DG, Morin S, Jin S, Raines RT. 2008. Self-assembled collagen-like peptide fibers as templates for metallic nanowires. *J. Mater. Chem.* 18:3865–70
136. Przybyla DE, Chmielewski J. 2008. Metal-triggered radial self-assembly of collagen peptide fibers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130:12610–11
137. Rele S, Song Y, Apkarian RP, Qu Z, Conticello VP, Chaikof EL. 2007. *D*-Periodic collagen-mimetic microfibers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 129:14780–87
138. Holmes DF, Chapman JA, Prockop DJ, Kadler KE. 1992. Growing tips of type I collagen fibrils formed in vitro are near-paraboloidal in shape, implying a reciprocal relationship between accretion and diameter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:9855–59
139. Johnson G, Jenkins M, McLean KM, Griesser HJ, Kwak J, et al. 2000. Peptoid-containing collagen mimetics with cell binding activity. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 51:612–24
140. Cejas MA, Chen C, Kinney WA, Maryanoff BE. 2007. Nanoparticles that display short collagen-related peptides. Potent stimulation of human platelet aggregation by triple helical motifs. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 18:1025–27
141. Smethurst PA, Onley DJ, Jarvis GE, O'Connor MN, Knight CG, et al. 2007. Structural basis for the platelet-collagen interaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:1296–304
142. Mo X, An Y, Yun C-S, Yu SM. 2006. Nanoparticle-assisted visualization of binding interactions between collagen mimetic peptides and collagen fibers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 45:2267–70
143. Wang AY, Mo X, Chen CS, Yu SM. 2005. Facile modification of collagen directed by collagen mimetic peptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127:4130–31
144. Wang AY, Foss CA, Leong S, Mo X, Pomper MG, Yu SM. 2008. Spatio-temporal modification of collagen scaffolds mediated by triple helical propensity. *Biomacromolecules* 9:1755–63
145. Dobson CM. 2003. Protein folding and misfolding. *Nature* 426:884–90
146. Nelson R, Sawaya MR, Balbirnie M, Madsen AO, Riekel C, et al. 2005. Structure of the cross- β spine of amyloid-like fibrils. *Nature* 435:773–78
147. Kim CA, Berg JM. 1993. Thermodynamic β -sheet propensities measured using a zinc-finger host peptide. *Nature* 362:267–70
148. Minor DL Jr, Kim PS. 1994. Measurement of the β -sheet-forming propensities of amino acids. *Nature* 367:660–63
149. Chiti F, Stefani M, Taddei N, Ramponi G, Dobson CM. 2003. Rationalization of the effects of mutations on peptide and protein aggregation rates. *Nature* 424:805–8
150. Rauscher S, Baud S, Miao M, Keeley FW, Pomès R. 2006. Proline and glycine control protein self-organization into elastomeric or amyloid fibrils. *Structure* 14:1667–76

137. First self-assembly of CRPs into micrometer-scale fibrils that have *D*-periodicity—a hallmark of natural collagen fibrils.

RELATED RESOURCES

- Dalgleish R. 2009. A database of osteogenesis imperfecta and type III collagen mutations. <http://www.le.ac.uk/genetics/collagen/>
- Khoshnoodi J, Cartiailler J-P, Alvares K, Veis A, Hudson BG. 2006. Computer-generated animation of assembly of type I and type IV collagen for Reference 38. <http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/cmb/collagen/>
- Ricard-Blum S, Ruggiero F, van der Rest M. 2005. The collagen superfamily. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 247:35–84
- Koide T, Nagata K. 2005. Collagen biosynthesis. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 247:85–114
- Greenspan DS. 2005. Biosynthetic processing of collagen molecules. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 247:149–83
- Birk DE, Bruckner P. 2005. Collagen suprastructures. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 247:185–205

- Franzke C-W, Bruckner P, Bruckner-Tuderman L. 2005. Collagenous transmembrane proteins: recent insights into biology and pathology. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280:4005–8
- Myllyharju J. 2003. Prolyl-4-hydroxylases, the key enzymes of collagen biosynthesis. *Matrix Biol.* 22:15–24
- Raines RT. 2006. 2005 Emil Thomas Kaiser award. *Protein Sci.* 15:1219–25
-

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

A twenty-ninth form of vertebrate collagen has been found in skin, lung, and intestine. Söderhäll C, Marenholz I, Kerscher T, Rüschendorf F, Esparza-Gordillo J, et al. 2007. Variants in a novel epidermal collagen gene (*COL29A1*) are associated with atopic dermatitis. *PLoS Biol.* 5:e242